

CYBERBULLYING

Kerim ALTIAY

*Southern University IMBL (Institute of Management Business and Law).
Rostov-on-Don, Russia*

Introduction

Web 2.0 has a meaningful effect on communication and relationships in today's society. Children and teens are going online more frequently and at a younger age and in various ways (eg smartphones, laptops, and tablets). Although the internet use of young people seems harmless and it is thought that digital communication has benefits; the freedom and anonymity experienced online make young people vulnerable to cyber bullying.

Bullying is not a new phenomenon and cyber bullying occurred as soon as digital technologies became the primary communication tools. On the positive side, social media such as blogs, social networking sites (Facebook) and instant messaging platforms (WhatsApp) make it possible to communicate with anyone and at any time. It is a place where people interact socially, offering the opportunity to build new relationships and maintain existing friendships.

However, there are also downsides. For example; Social media increases the risk of children facing threatening situations such as grooming or sexually transgressive behavior, depression and suicidal thoughts, and cyber bullying. This makes social media a convenient way for bullies to target their victims outside of the schoolyard.

With regard to cyber bullying, a number of national and international initiatives have been launched over the past few years to improve children's online safety. Examples include the Finnish cyber bullying prevention program KiVa (<http://www.kivaprogram.net/>), the 'auharcèlement' campaign in France, Belgian government initiatives and hotlines (e.g. Clicksafe.be, veiligonline.be, mediawijs.be) provides information about online security. These findings suggest that cyber bullying is a serious problem whose consequences can be dramatic. Therefore, early detection of cyber bullying attempts is a key to the mental well-being of young people.

Successful detection depends on effective tracking of online content, but the amount of information on the web makes it practically impossible for moderators to manually monitor all user-generated content. To solve this problem, intelligent systems are required that can process this information quickly and automatically report potential threats. In this way, moderators can react quickly and prevent threatening situations from increasing.

In accordance with recent research, young people often favor such automated tracking, parental control tools (eg NetNanny, <https://www.netnanny.com/>) are already blocking inappropriate or unwanted content, and some social networks are keyword, provided that effective tracking strategies are established. In the basis of monitoring tools are used to use swearing and offensive word lists to mark harmful content. However, such approaches are typically unable to detect implicit and subtle forms of cyber bullying where no explicit vocabulary is used. This creates a need for smart and self-learning systems that go beyond keyword detection and thus improve recall of cyber bullying detection.

The main goal of this study is to examine the concept of cyber bullying , the difference between cyber and traditional bullying, the relationship between social media use and cyber bullying , the prevalence of cyber bullying according to age and gender factors and its effects in terms of emotional, psychological, family-friend relationships and academic success, parents and school the responsibilities that their staff should take; to examine the solution suggestions that students will offer to prevent cyber bullying . It should help moderation and prevention efforts by capturing covert bullying signals.

Both offline and online bullying is widespread in social sciences and psychology, and increased cases of cyber bullying in recent years have spurred research efforts to automatically detect cyber bullying. Below is a definition of cyber bullying and a brief overview of automatic approaches to detecting cyber bullying, defining its participant roles.

Cyber bullying definition and participant roles

A well known starting point for conceptualizing cyber bullying are definitions of traditional (i.e., offline) bullying, and formulated by one of the most influential. According to the three main criteria of bullying, the investigative intention (i.e. a bully intends to harm the victim), its repetition (i.e., bullying is an ongoing behavior over time) and the power imbalance the victim (i.e. a stronger bully attacks a weak victim). With regard to cyber bullying, a number of definitions are based on the above criteria.

A popular definition defines cyber bullying as “an aggressive, deliberate act committed by a group or individual using electronic contact forms over and over again with a victim who cannot easily defend himself”. However, the view on the applicability of the above features to cyber bullying is too divided and, besides theoretical objections, a number of practical limitations have been observed. Firstly, it claims that traditional bullying intends to be inherent, which is much more difficult to detect in an online setting.

Online conversations lack face-to-face interaction signals such as intonation, facial expressions, and gestures, making them more ambiguous than real-life interviews. Therefore, the buyer may have the wrong impression that they are being harassed or ridiculed. Another criterion that may not show bullying in online situations is the imbalance of power between the bully and the victim. This may be evident in real life (for example, the bully is taller, stronger or greater than the victim), but it is difficult to conceptualize or measure online where power can be related to technological skills, anonymity, or the victim's inability to escape. The empowerment of bullying is also inherent in the web: Once defamatory or confidential information is made public on the internet, it is difficult to remove.

Eventually, while arguing that repetition separates bullying from a single act of aggression, he notes that a single such aggressive act can be considered bullying under certain circumstances. Accordingly, he argues that the repetition of cyber bullying is problematic to operationalize as the consequences of a single insulting message on a public page are unclear. A single act of aggression or humiliation causes constant distress and humiliation for the victim if shared or liked by a large audience.

If we compare this to the "snowball effect", it must be replicated or distributed by other people so that the bullying is out of control and has greater effects than originally anticipated.

The above information confirms that the definition of cyber bullying is far from trivial, and that varying prevalence rates still lack a single meaningful description of the phenomenon in the literature. Based on current conceptualizations, cyber bullying is defined as content posted online by an individual that is offensive or offensive to a victim. Based on this definition, a disclosure plan has been developed, including the textual characteristics of cyber bullying, including the posts of the bullying, as well as reactions from victims and bystanders.

Cyber bullying research also encapsulates identifying participant roles. Based on surveys among young people involved in real-life bullying situations, six participant roles were identified; victims (i.e. those who are the targets of repeated harassment), bullies (i.e., those who take initiative), bully helpers (i.e. who encourage the bully), bully reinforcers (i.e., those who reinforce bullying), advocates (i.e., comforting the victim, taking over or trying to stop the bully) and outsiders (i.e., ignoring or alienating themselves from the situation). In summary, besides the bully and the victim, the researchers distinguish the four bystanders (assistants, boosters, advocates, and strangers) but do not distinguish between boosters and aids of the bully.

Their typology includes victims, bullies and three types of bystanders: i bystanders who participate in the bullying, ii people who help or support the victim, and iii; people who ignore the bullying. The cyber bullying roles defined in our annotation scheme are based on existing bullying role typologies, given that traditional bullying roles are applicable to cyber bullying as well. More information about the different roles we consider is provided in the data collection and annotation section.

Bystanders and to a lesser extent victims are often overlooked in the relevant research. As a result, these studies can be better characterized as verbal aggression detection related to bully attacks. We catch different and finer signals of a bullying incident by taking the audience into account. Note that this study does not include the classification of participant roles as such, it is necessary for the conceptualization of the current perception task.

Perception and prevention of Cyber bullying

As mentioned earlier, although research on cyber bullying detection is more limited than social studies on the phenomenon, some significant progress has been made in recent years. Below; there is a brief overview of the most important natural language processing approaches to cyber bullying identification.

Although some studies have examined the effectiveness of rule-based modeling, the dominant approach to cyber bullying detection includes machine learning. While most machine learning approaches involve supervised or semi-supervised learning, the former involve the creation of a classifier based on tagged training data, semi-supervised approaches rely on classifiers built from a training group that includes a small set of tagged and a large unlabeled sample set. Semi-controlled methods are often used to address data flexibility, a typical problem in cyber bullying research. Since cyber bullying detection mainly involves the distinction between bullying and non-bullying messages, the problem is often approached as a binary classification task where the positive class is represented by (textual) cyber bullying instances, while the negative class does not contain bullying signals.

The most important challenge in cyber bullying research is the availability of appropriate data to develop models that characterize cyber bullying. In recent years, only a few datasets for this task have become publicly available, such as the CAW 2.0 workshop (<http://caw2.barcelonamedia.org>), training sets provided under a MySpace (<https://>).

To give example in the aspects of the study; (myspace.com), Formspring (<http://www.formspring.me>) cyber bullying corpus is a dataset of Twitter Bullying Traces with the help of MechanicalTurk and more recently. This is why many studies have created their own compilations from social media websites such as YouTube, Twitter, Instagram, MySpace, FormSpring, for example, Kaggle and ASKfm. Despite the bottleneck of data availability, cyber bullying detection approaches have been successfully applied in recent years and the importance of automatic text analysis techniques to ensure online child safety has been recognized.

Among the earliest studies on cyber bullying detection were those investigating the predictive power of n -grams (with or without tf-id weighting), speech part information (e.g. first and second pronouns), and emotion information. It relies on the (polarity and profanity) glossary for this task. Similar features were used not only for coarse-grained cyber bullying detection, but also for detecting finer-grained categories of cyber bullying. Despite their apparent simplicity, content-based features (i.e. lexical, syntactic, and sensitivity information) are frequently used in recent approaches to the issue. In fact, more than 41 articles

have approached cyber bullying detection using content-based features confirming that such information is crucial to the task.

However, contextual features are increasingly combined with semantic features derived from subject model knowledge, words and representation learning. More recent studies also specifically examine the activity of users on the social network i.e. number of posts, age, gender, location, friends and followers, etc. contains. A final type of feature gaining increasing popularity in cyber bullying detection is network-based features whose implementation is motivated by frequent use of social media data for the task. Using network information, the researchers aim to capture other relevant information in a social network, such as participants in a conversation (e.g. bully versus victim) and a person's popularity (i.e., can show the strength of a potential bully). The number of (historical) interactions between two people, etc. for example, they used network-based features to take into account the behavioral history of a potential bully.

The cyber bullying has been specified in tweets and includes network features inspired by Olweus. More specifically, they measured the popularity of the bullies based on the interaction graphs and the location of the bully in the network, as well as the imbalance of power between a bully and victim. As mentioned earlier, social media is a widely used type for such tasks. More recently, researchers have investigated cyber bullying detection in multimodal data provided by specific platforms, for example, cyber bullying detection using multimodal data extracted from the social network Instagram. They combined the textual properties obtained from the posts with the user metadata and image properties and showed that integrating the latter improves the classification performance. They have also detected cyber bullying in different types of data, including ASKfm, Twitter, and Instagram. They took into account role information by integrating bullying and victim scores as features based on the formation of bullying-related keywords in their posted or received posts.

Regarding the datasets used in cyber bullying research, it is observed that the corporation is usually created by keyword search, which produces a biased dataset of positive (i.e. bullying) samples. To balance this corporation, negative data from a background establishment is often added or data resampling techniques are adopted. For this research, data was randomly scanned across ASKfm and no keyword search was used to collect bullying data. Instead, all the examples were explained manually due to the existence of bullying and as a result include a realistic distribution of the bullying examples.

Considering the performance of automatic cyber bullying, we can see that the scores vary greatly and depend not only on the algorithm and parameter settings applied, but also on a number of other variables. These include the system (i.e. micro- or macro-averaged F1, sensitivity, recall, AUC, etc.), corpus type (i.e.

Facebook, Twitter, ASKfm, Instagram) and class distribution (balanced or unbalanced), annotation method (i.e. crowdsourcing). or automatic annotations or manual annotations by experts) and perhaps the most important distinguishing factor is the conceptualization of the cyber bullying used. More concretely, some approaches suggest a more comprehensive approach by capturing sensitive topics or pejorative language, others, different types of cyber bullying or modeling bully victim communications involved in a cyber bullying incident.

Numerous approaches used to address cyber bullying detection are illustrated above. While most focus on 'attacks' of cyber bullying or places written by a bully, it is not entirely clear whether different forms of cyber bullying (for example, sexual intimidation or harassment or psychological threats) are taken into account in addition to insulting language or insults. In this study, cyber bullying is accepted as a complex phenomenon that includes different forms of harmful online behavior, which are explained in more detail in our annotation scheme. In order to facilitate manual tracking efforts on social networks, a system has been developed that automatically detects cyber bullying signals, including victim and audience reactions, as well as attacks against bullying. What obviously distinguishes these studies from today is that the conceptualization of cyber bullying is not explained. In other words, it is not clear which types are considered bullying and which are not.

Cyber bullying types

Cyber bullying research has mainly focused on the conceptualization, occurrence, and prevention of the phenomenon. Community linguistic studies have identified different types of cyber bullying and compared these types with traditional or offline forms of bullying. Direct and indirect forms of cyber bullying such as traditional bullying have been identified. Direct cyber bullying refers to actions in which the victim is directly involved (for example, sending an infected file, excluding someone from an online group, insulting, and threatening), whereas indirect cyber bullying refers to the victim's unawareness (e.g., where they post traces or confidential information) By creating a hate page on social networking sites, gossip can be spread. The current disclosure scheme describes some specific textual categories related to cyber bullying, including incentives, threats, insults, defensive statements from a victim, etc. (see section for data collection and explanation). All these forms were inspired by social studies on cyber bullying and manual examination of cyber bullying examples.

Roles of Cyber bullying

Similar to traditional bullying, cyber bullying involves a number of participants who adopt well-defined roles. Researchers have identified various roles in (cyber) bullying interactions. Although traditional studies of bullying have focused largely on bullies and victims, the importance of the audience in the case of bullying has been recognized. Bystanders can support victims and mitigate the negative effects caused by bullying, especially on social networking sites, distinguish three main types of audience where they have higher intentions to victims than real life conversations: i) viewers involved in bullying, ii) those who provide assistance or support to the victim, and iii) those who ignore the bullying. Given that passive spectators are difficult to recognize in online text, only the first two are integrated in our annotation plan.

With the enhancement and updating of communication technologies day by day, the number of users of social media platforms has started to increase. Social media has many positive benefits as well as negative consequences.

One of the most crucial of these negativities is cyber bullying. In this study, cyber bullying in social media environments, how young people exposed to cyber bullying are affected by this situation in general, and the fight against cyber bullying have been examined.

Individuals are most exposed to cyber bullying on social media platforms. In particular, it is observed that they are subjected to forms of bullying such as capturing private images, sexual insults and humiliation and slander. In similar studies on this subject, it is seen that most of the young people face undesirable and disturbing situations in social media environments. However, there are also students who say that they are not exposed to cyber bullying. Yet, it can be said that individuals gave these answers as it is thought that they do not have sufficient awareness about cyber bullying. Based on the answers, it can be concluded that social networks occupy a large place in the lives of young people and they frequently encounter uncomfortable and unwanted situations on social media platforms.

In the inquiry of whether they have committed cyber bullying to individuals, there were also people who admitted to doing cyber bullying. Young people may commit cyber bullying for reasons such as the deterioration of friendship relations, the separation of girlfriend and boyfriend, or the feeling of revenge after cyber bullying. For example, considering that one of them writes swearing comments using social media, and the other uses social media to blackmail their friends with a photograph that they do not like, it is possible to say that young people can be in the role of bully and sometimes victim on social media. Many similar studies have

investigated the role of age and gender in cyber bullying exposure. In studies that generally emphasize the importance of the gender difference, it is seen that girls are more likely to be cyber bullied than boys.

For short, the findings acquired in the study show similarities with the findings of other studies. The differences are thought to occur because the students answered the answers without being conscious enough. In the study, it was mentioned that how young people are interested in social media, how they can automatically detect cyber bullying, types of cyber bullying and how individuals are engaged in it.

References and notes:

- Chawla, Nitesh V., et al. "SMOTE: synthetic minority over-sampling technique." *Journal of artificial intelligence research* 16 (2002): 321-357. Dadvar M., (2014), *United experts and machines against cyber bullying*, Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Twente.
- Fan, Rong-En, et al. "LIBLINEAR: A library for large linear classification." *Journal of machine learning research* 9. Aug (2008): 1871-1874. Fleiss JL., (1971), "Measuring Nominal Scale Agreement Among Many Evaluators", *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 76, S. 5, pp. 378-382.
- Hinduja, Sameer, and Justin W. Patchin. "Cyberbullying: Neither an epidemic nor a rarity." *European Journal of Developmental Psychology* 9.5 (2012): 539-543. Hoste V. (2005), *Optimization Issues in Machine Learning of Kernel Resolution*, Unpublished Doctoral dissertation, University of Antwerp.
- Nahar, Vinita, et al. "Semi-supervised learning for cyberbullying detection in social networks." *Australasian Database Conference*. Springer, Cham, 2014. O'Sullivan PB,
- O'sullivan, Patrick B., and Andrew J. Flanagan. "Reconceptualizing 'flaming' and other problematic messages." *New Media & Society* 5.1 (2003): 69-94. Salmivalli, Christina, et al. "Bullying as a group process: Participant roles and their relations to social status within the group." *Aggressive Behavior: Official Journal of the International Society for Research on Aggression* 22.1 (1996): 1-15. Salmivalli C, Voeten M, Poskiparta E.
- Bystanders, Sounder "The Relationships Between Class Strengthening, Defense, and The Frequency of Bullying Behavior", *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, (2011), Vol. 40, p. 5, pp. 668-676.
- Slonje R, Smith PK, Frisén A. "The Nature of Cyber Bullying and Prevention Strategies", *Computers in Human Behavior*, (2013), P. 29, C. 1.
- Van Royen, Kathleen, Karolien Poels, and Heidi Vandebosch. "Harmonizing freedom and protection: Adolescents' voices on automatic monitoring of social networking sites." *Children and Youth Services Review* 64 (2016): 35-41. Vandebosch H, Van Cleemput K. (2009), "Cyber Bullying Among Youth: Profiles of Bullies and Victims", *New Media and Society*, P. 11, Volume 8.

XÜLASƏ

Kiberbullinq

Kərim ALTIAY

**Cənubi Rusiya Universiteti (İdarəetmə, Biznes və Hüquq İnstitutunun),
Rostov-on-Don, Rusiya**

Bu tədqiqatın əsas məqsədi kiberbullinqi (kiberzorakılıq) araşdırmaqdan ibarətdir. Məqalədə kiber və ənənəvi zorakılıq arasında , sosial media istifadəsi ilə kiber zorakılıq arasında , yaş və cinsiyyət faktorlarına görə, kiber zorakılığın yayılması və duyğu psixologiyası, ailə dostluq münasibətləri və akademik uğurlar , valideynlər və məktəb arasındakı əlaqə və fərqlər araşdırılmış, eyni zamanda kiber zorakılığın qarşısını almaq üçün müəllim və tələbə heyətinin təklifləri də verilmişdir.

Sosial media dedikdə, insanların yeni münasibətlər qurduğu və mövcud dostluqlarını qorumaq üçün qarşılıqlı əlaqə yaratdığı bir məkan kimi anlaşılır. Təbii ki, bunun müsbət və mənfi cəhətləri də vardır. Məsələn, sosial media, uşaqların görünüş baxımından cinsi təcavüzkar davranış, depressiya və intihar düşüncələri və kiberbullinq kimi təhlükəli vəziyyətlərlə qarşılaşma riskini də artırır. Buda öz timsalında , kiber zorakılığın aşkarlanmasının həlli ilə bağlı ağıllı və öz-özünə öyrənmə sistemlərindən istifadəyə ehtiyac yaradır.

Açar sözlər: Kiberbullinq, sosial media, ağıllı system, ənənəvi münasibətlər, onlayn təhlükəli vəziyyət

РЕЗЮМЕ

Киберзапугивание

Керим АЛТИАЙ

**Южно-Российский университет (Институт управления,
бизнеса и права), Ростов-на-Дону, Россия**

Основная цель этого исследования - расследовать случаи киберзапугивания. В статье исследуются сходства и различия между кибер и традиционным насилием, использованием социальных сетей и кибер-насилием, возрастными и гендерными факторами, распространенностью кибер-насилия и эмоциональной психологией, дружеских семейные отношениями и академической успеваемостью, родителями и школой, а также предложения преподавателей и студентов относительно предотвращения кибер-насилия. Социальные сети понимаются как пространство, где люди строят новые отношения и взаимодействуют для поддержания существующих дружеских отношений. Естественно, что в этом есть положительные и отрицательные стороны. Например, социальные сети также увеличивают риск попадания детей в опасные ситуации, такие как внешность или сексуальная агрессия, депрессия и суицидальные мысли, а также киберзапугивание. А это в свою очередь, порождает потребность в интеллектуальных и самообучающихся системах для обнаружения киберзапугивания.

Ключевые слова: Киберзапугивание, социальные сети, интеллектуальная система, традиционные отношения, опасная онлайн-ситуация

ELM VƏ İNNOVATİV
TEKNOLOGİYALAR
JURNALI