


## BOOK REVIEW

# *MİHAELA CULEA, LINGUISTIC REPRESENTATIONS OF CONTESTED IDENTITIES IN THE MEDIA*



**Publisher:** Hartung-Gorre; 2016th edition (24 Aug. 2016)

**Language :** English

**Hardcover :** 238 pages

**ISBN-10 :** 3866285698

**ISBN-13 :** 978-3866285699

**Reviewed by:** Brîndușa-Mariana AMĂLĂNCEI  
*Vasile Alecsandri University of Bacău, Romania*



The role of the media in society is increasingly impactful, with diverse media forms reaching a vast audience. Unfortunately, the media sometimes maintains or strengthens existing negative perceptions or stereotypes of certain groups, and can even create new ones.

Having media content as its subject of investigation, this book delves into the exploration of forms of negative bias towards South-Eastern Europeans as ‘others’ in British media outlets. By constructing and displaying repetitive images, narratives and themes around the targeted ‘others’, the media has an important share in the socio-cultural practices of discriminatory thinking or behaviour which, at times, can take aggressive forms. As the study shows, slanted, sensationalistic media coverage often displays negative reports of South-Eastern Europeans, depicting them as different in a negative way and so, alien to the UK nationals and British identity in general.

Consisting of two parts, a methodological, context-setting one and an investigative one, the book undertakes a journey from theory to practice in order to examine the discursive representations of controversial national identities in the media, with the South-Eastern Europeans in the spotlight, mostly from the period 2012-2014.

In the introductory section which prefaces the theoretical part (Chapter 1, Introduction), the author presents the topic, the underlying principles and the methodology used in the book and sets out to prove that the media employs diverse strategies to present negative views on South-Eastern Europeans.

Chapter 2, entitled Introducing the European Union. Towards a European Identity, presents important aspects regarding the origins of the European Union and wonders whether we can talk about an existing European identity or about a prospective one, discussing opposite views on the matter.

‘Otherness’ is a key-concept of the book and thus, Chapter 3, ‘Otherness’, the European Union and the Media, defines forms of ‘othering’ and ‘otherness’ and identifies the immigrant as ‘other’ in the British media.

In order to investigate the topic illustrated by a selected corpus of media texts, the second part employs a qualitative research methodology, based on the framework proposed by discourse analysis.

Chapter 4, The Conceptual Metaphors of the European Press Discourse, employs the conceptual metaphor theory to explore the metaphorical representations of the economic crisis from Greece (2012–2013) and of Romanian immigration to the UK (2013) in the media, identifying dominant conceptual source domains from the fields of disease (the medical domain), armed conflict (the field of war), or of great overflowing of water (natural calamity).

In turn, each of these representations unfolds a plethora of negative means of appraisal of the examined nationalities.

Chapter 5, *Stereotypical Representations in the European Media*, presents a series of stereotypes found in European media products, such as digital maps, journalistic articles, or in media campaigns. The fixed images transmitted by the media are based on generalization and misconception and can lead to forms of discrimination against those perceived as dangerous, foreign, poor, and underdeveloped.

In the last chapter, Chapter 6, *Migration, Centre vs. Margin and the British Press Discourse. Reporting and Subjectivity*, the focus lies on the moral panic created around immigrants in the UK, framing them as threats to British national identity in the context of the economic liberalization of borders in 2013. Varied illustrations of blaming through numerous linguistic strategies are examined.

The book has its place among rhetorical and discourse analytical studies focusing on the media representation of nationalities that are hotly argued over in the public space and which, as such, are negatively depicted by media outlets. By revealing othering attitudes towards South-Eastern Europeans, the book uncovers dominant discriminatory viewpoints and intolerant mindsets that work against key desiderata of the EU concerning the treatment of its citizens.

By analysing media representations of South-Eastern Europeans as ‘others’ in Britain, the study enables a better understanding of disputed national identities in the contemporary European space, particularly in the UK, through the exposure of existing stereotypes and biased perceptions about them and, consequently, brings its own contribution to the scholarly critique concerning the topic.

The analysis of a selected media corpus from the UK is of practical use for those who want to understand the factors that led to Brexit, discussing such events or phenomena as the liberalization of UK borders in 2014 or migration, as well as the discursive modes of constructing images of otherness circulated by the media, surrounding these events. The book brings an interesting contribution to the understanding of the connection between discourse and society in relation to national identities.

**Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

**Contact Information**

**E-mail:** [amalancei.brindusa@ub.ro](mailto:amalancei.brindusa@ub.ro)