

XÜLASƏ**Menecerlərin Münaqişə Tendansiyalarının araşdırılması****Mehmet MACAR***IMBL Universiteti (İdarəçilik, Biznes və Hüquq İnstitutu),
Rostov, Rusiya*

Bu tədqiqat işində menecerlərin empatik münaqişə tendansiyaları bəzi təkliflər baxımından araşdırıldı. Sorğuda iştirak edən idarəçilərə "Şəxsi Məlumat Forması" və "Empatik çatışmamazlıq nisbəti üzrə metodlar tətbiq olunaraq, tədqiqat məlumatları toplandı. Datum, t testi, F testi və çox- müqayisəli (Tukey) testləri üzrə təhlillər aparıldı. Tədqiqatın nəticələri nəzərdən keçirildikdə məlum oldu ki, qadın menecerlərin çoxu kişilərə nisbətən passiv münaqişə strategiyasından daha çox istifadə edirlər. Mövcud münaqişə səviyyəsinin araşdırıldığı zaman menecerin vəzifələrinə görə, 1-7 il arası iş təcrübəsi olan menecerlər, 8-15 ildən 16 ilə qədər iş təcrübəsi olan menecerlərə nisbətən daha çox mövcud münaqişələrdə olduqları görünür. Bu nəticələr müvafiq ədəbiyyatda müzakirə edilmiş və tədqiqatçılar üçün təkliflər verilmişdir.

Açar Keyword: Empati, menecer, konflikt, tendensiya, araşdırma

РЕЗЮМЕ

Исследование тенденций конфликтов Менеджеров

Мехмет МАЧАР

*IMBL (Институт управления, бизнеса и права),
Ростов-он-Дон, Россия*

В этом исследовании рассмотрены тенденции эмпатического конфликта менеджеров с точки зрения некоторых предложений. Данные исследования были собраны, применяя «Личную информационную форму» и «Методы оценки спонтанного дисбаланса» к администраторам, участникам опроса. Были проанализированы тесты Datum, t-тест, F-тест и мульти-сравнительные тесты (Tukey). При рассмотрении результатов исследования было обнаружено, что, большинство женщин-менеджеров, по сравнению с мужчинами, чаще используют стратегию пассивного конфликта. В соответствии с должностью менеджеров при исследовании существующего конфликтного уровня, менеджеры с опытом работы 1-7 лет, попадают в определенные конфликтные ситуации больше, по сравнению с менеджерами с опытом работы от 8 до 15 лет и более 16 лет. Эти результаты были обсуждены в соответствующей литературе и были выдвинуты предложения для исследователей.

Ключевые слова: *Эмпатия, менеджер, конфликт, тенденция, исследования*

AN EXAMINATION OF METAL INDUSTRY EMPLOYEES' WORK MOTIVATION

Hakan OZCELIK

*IMBL University (Institute of Management, Business and Law),
Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation*

Introduction

Motivation is that individuals act in accordance with their own requests and desires to achieve a given purpose (Koçel, 2003). According to another definition, motivation is the process of encouraging wage earners to work and making them believe that they will satisfy their personal needs optimally as long as they work efficiently in the organization.

As maybe the most important of human resource functions and a factor directing the human relations, motivation is also the most neglected function. The fact that administrators cannot foresee what the company can gain by high motivation in a concrete way is effective on this fact. Some additional costs are required to keep motivation on high levels and the financial benefit secured cannot be directly calculated. However, motivation aims at increasing the business efficiency as well as enhancing the satisfaction expected by workers from the business (Osterlah, Frey & Frost 2001).

Furthermore, recruiting more qualified personnel in the organization, keeping the current qualified personnel in the body of the organization for a long time; and workers being more efficient and performing better is possible through working environments where motivation is maintained on higher levels (McNamara, 2003).

In his article '*Motivation and Personality*', Maslow stated that science cannot be independent from human values and revealed that meeting individuals' needs in a hierarchic order (psychological, safety, belongingness, care and love, esteem and self-actualization) will motivate them. Again, Frederick Herzberg emphasized the importance of levels of satisfaction and motivation for performance increase in his Two Factor Theory (Hume, 1998). Dissatisfaction to be observed in an organization on any level will cause conflict while decreasing motivation and performance.

It should not be expected that unmotivated personnel perform efficiently and work productively.

It is known that significant relationships between work motivation and job performance increase the quality production activities and the productivity. Industrial workers' job performances and work motivations were therefore identified and investigated by certain variables in the research.

Method

The research is a quantitative study conducted with the relational survey model. The Work Motivation Scale was used to measure industrial workers' levels of work motivation. When the participants of the research are examined by their demographics; 73,5% of 302 participants are male; 26,5% of them are female. This shows that the number of male participants is 222, the number of female participants is 80.

Work motivation scale:

The Work Motivation Scale developed by Aksoy (2006) and adapted by Tanriverdi (2007) and composed of 18 questions was used. The structure validity of the scale was examined with the factor analysis. According to the data obtained from the factor analysis, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Sample Measure was found to be 0.781. Accordingly, Bartlett's Test of Sphericity is significant and was found to be 470.77.

Findings

Table 2. *t* Test results of the subdimensions of Work Motivation Scale by industrial workers' gender

Dimensions	Gender	N	X	Ss	t	p
Team Cohesion	Male	222	14.68	3.73	-2.942	.004
	Female	80	16.06	3.21		
Work Engagement	Male	222	14.64	3.70	-1.705	.089
	Female	80	15.46	3.60		
Organizational Commitment	Male	222	11.21	3.08	-3.034	.003
	Female	80	12.38	2.56		

Personal Development	Male	222	11.04	3.05	-1.121	.263
	Female	80	11.51	3.45		

*p < .05

As for the examination of the subdimensions of the Work Motivation Scale by industrial workers' gender, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 14.68$); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 16.06$) for the team cohesion subdimension. A significant difference ($t = -2.942$, $p > .05$) was found between the means. **Female workers' levels of team cohesion are higher than male workers'**. As for the examination of the engagement subdimension, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 14.64$); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 15.46$). No significant difference ($t = -1.705$, $p > .05$) was found between the means.

As for the examination of the organizational commitment subdimension, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 11.21$); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 12.38$). A significant difference ($t = -3.034$, $p > .05$) was found between the means. **Female workers' levels of organizational commitment are higher than male workers'**. As for the examination of the personal development subdimension, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 11.04$); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be ($X = 11.51$). No significant difference ($t = -1.121$, $p > .05$) was found between the means.

Table 2.F Test results concerning whether there is a difference between the work motivation scale subdimensions by industrial workers' occupational experiences

Dimensions	Occupational Experience	N	X	Ss	F	P
Team Cohesion	1-10 years	92	14.27	3.43	5.511	.004
	11-20 years	76	14.65	3.42		
	21 - above	134	15.79	3.79		
Work Engagement	1-10 years	92	14.16	3.90	12.716	.000
	11-20 years	76	13.69	3.52		
	21 - above	134	16.00	3.31		
Organizational Commitment	1-10 years	92	10.90	2.96	9.251	.000
	11-20 years	76	10.85	2.96		
	21 - above	134	12.33	2.86		
Personal Development	1-10 years	92	10.10	3.23	14.504	.000
	11-20 years	76	10.64	3.26		
	21 - above	134	12.20	2.74		

*p < .05

When Work Motivation Scale subdimensions are examined by industrial workers' occupational experiences, significant differences were found for team cohesion, engagement, organizational commitment and personal development. A

significance analysis was conducted to determine by which groups the difference is caused by industrial workers' occupational experiences. Findings obtained from Tukey's test performed to find out by which groups the difference is caused by industrial workers' occupational experiences are shown in Table 3.

Table 3.

Results of the multiple comparison test for work motivation scale subdimensions concerning by which groups the difference is caused by industrial workers' occupational experiences

Dimensions	(i) Occupational experience	(j) Occupational experience	Difference Between (i-j)	sh	sig.
Team Cohesion	1-10 years	11-20 Years	-.38616	.55	.768
		21 - above	-1.52677*	.48	.005
Work Engagement	1-10 years	11-20 Years	.46568	.55	.675
		21 - above	-1.83696*	.48	.000
Organizational Commitment	1-10 years	11-20 Years	.04691	.45	.994
		21 - above	-1.43365*	.39	.001
Personal Development	1-10 years	11-20 Years	-.53604	.47	.491
		21 - above	-2.09280*	.41	.000

*p < .05

As for the examination of industrial workers' Work Motivation Scale scores by their occupational experiences, team cohesion levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above. Engagement levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above. Organizational commitment levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above. Personal development levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be

significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above.

Discussion

When ones examines the findings about the question “ Is there a significant difference among industrial workers' level of work motivation by the gender variable?”, female workers' levels of team cohesion ad organizational commitment are higher than male workers' levels.

Pennington (1997), Taşpınar (2008) and Smith (1999) concluded in their studies that gender does not affect the level of motivation. Related literature do not support the research findings.

Baysal and Paksoy (1999) found at the end of their research that there is a significant and positive relationship between the gender variable and staying in the job, emotional commitment to the organization and staying in the organization. The related literature shows parallelism with this finding of the research.

According to the findings of the other subproblem “Is there a significant difference among industrial workers' level of work motivation by the occupational experience variable?”, the workers with 1-10 years of occupational experience have higher levels of team cohesion, engagement, organizational commitment and personal development.

There are researches in the related literature that support the findings of the research. Özer (2009) found that the nurses with 1–5 years of professional seniority have higher levels of motivation than the nurses with longer professional seniority. On the other hand, Keser (2006) concluded that work motivation levels increases as the professional seniority goes up. However, Howard (2007), Everett (1988), Pennington (1997) and Smith (1999) stated in their studies that level of motivation does not differ by the professional seniority variable. According to the literature, there are studies that do and do not support the findings of the research.

It is expected that workers who are identified with their organization and regard themselves a part of the organization will put more effort to deliver the tasks, consider themselves the representatives of the organization inside and outside the workplace and look out for organizations interest in all decisions they make (Miller, Allen, Casey & Johnson, 2000). Zhou & George (2001) stated in their study that the friendships in the working environment increase the level of organizational commitment, therefore increasing the work motivation and the job performance. Ohlson (2009) stated that there is a significant relation with goal congruence and motivation and performance.

Colleagues help each other more with a mutual exchange. This, in return, increases the cohesion of the work group, personal development and job satisfaction. Cohesion and job satisfaction in a work group redounds on the job performance (Wikaningrum, 2007). In addition, team cohesion is effective on whether the time spent in the workplace and the working environment itself is good or bad (Bateman, 2009). Working with colleagues who are in cohesion with

the team provides a good working environment where individuals can voice their thoughts and ideas easily and improve themselves. Positive developments can be observed in the job performance and work motivation levels of individuals who achieves a more peaceful and creative working environment with the perception of cohesion among colleagues.

There are arguments that some colleague behaviors are caused by political or interest-oriented purposes and, it is therefore not possible to talk about a constructive colleague behavior all the time (Bateman, 2009). It is sometimes argued that the worker who accepts the support by colleagues is incompetent. The individual receiving support from a colleague may be perceived as a worker who has shortcomings of capability and independence (Ng & Sorensen, 2008). Other than these opinions, it is possible to observe the positive effects of colleague support and team cohesion on job performance and work motivation.

Amarneh, Abu Al-Rub, & Abu Al-Rub, (2010) and Abu Al-Rub (2004) found in their researches that there is a positive relationship between team cohesion and job performance. High perception of team cohesion results in high job performance and work motivation.

The studies in which a positive relationship was found between workers' levels of contextual motivation and job performances indicate the importance of motivation (Goldsmith, Veum & Darity, 2000; Aziz, Goldman & Olsen, 2007). Incentive award and salary practices of managements enable workers to work with more effort. Workers' levels of work motivation and job performance decrease in businesses which cannot use contextual motivation and task performance tools and fall insufficient in factors such as salary, self-development, etc.

It was seen in a research conducted on 401 workers in 29 companies in Korea that contextual motivation has a significant impact on job performance (Chang, 2003). In a study performed to motivations and performances of students taking physical education class, it was revealed that students' levels of intrinsic motivation increased their performances (Standage&Gillison, 2007).

The fact that workers are not independent in their jobs and not provided with opportunities to improve them affects their motivation negatively. There are other research findings which show that autonomy and independence increase performance and motivation (Sproule, Wang, Morgan, Neill & Morris, 2007; Standage&Gillison, 2007). It should be therefore remembered that workers should be provided with independence to increase their intrinsic motivation and personal growth opportunities for organizational commitment.

In an interview with American managers they emphasized that the job needs to be intriguing and stimulating rather than using monetary incentives, and workers should have the ability to participate in decision-making process and their achievement should be taken into consideration and appreciated. It is stated that workers show high levels of performance as long as the conditions to increase their contextual motivational level are provided (Delfgaauw&Dur, 2007).

Another finding shows that the motivational factors of engagement and organizational commitment are more effective on the hotel workers than the motivational factors of team cohesion and personal development (Chiang & Jang, 2008). In a study in which the motivators that affect intentions of the workers of a software-developing company to participate in the future projects were analyzed, both internal and external motivators were found to be effective (Wu, Gerlach & Young, 2007). It is understood that intrinsic and extrinsic motivations should be of high importance for individuals to participate in future projects.

There are other researches for determining the relationship between organizational commitment and work motivation. A large number of research findings have been found in relation to the positive relationship between workers' organizational commitment and work motivation (Montes, Fuentes, & Fernandez, 2003; Linz, 2004; Pierce & Gardner, 2004).

It is stated that a management showing effort to strengthen the social relations in the workplace is highly effective on the increase of workers' work motivation and performance to help them meet their psycho-social necessities (Bozkurt & Bozkurt, 2008). Performance appraisal helps workers know their motivational levels and show more effort to improve themselves.

References and notes:

- Akdemir, A. (2004), *Basic information on business administration*, BigaYayıncılık, Çanakkale.
- Aksoy, H. (2006). *Effect of organizational climate on motivation*. Master's Thesis, *Marmara University Journal of F.E.A.S.* , 15(2).
- Amarneh, B. H. Abu Al-Rub, R. F. & Abu Al-Rub, N. F. (2010).Co-workers' support and job performance among nurses in Jordanian hospitals. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 15 (5), 391-401.
- Aziz, A. Goldman, H. M. & Olsen, N. (2007). Facets of type a personality and pay increaseamong the employees of fast food restaurants, *Hospitality Management* (26), 754-758.
- BarutçugüL, İ. (2004). *Strategic Human Resource Management*, KariyerYayınları, İstanbul.
- Bateman, G. (2009). *Employee perceptions of co-worker support and its effect on job satisfaction, work stress and intention to quit*. New Zealand: University of Canterbury.
- Bateman, T. S. & Organ D. W. (1983). Job satisfaction and the good soldier: The relationship between affect and employee citizenship. *Academy of Management Journal*, 26(4), 587-595.

- Bozkurt, Ö. &Bozkurt, İ. (2008). *A field research on the evaluation of in-house factors that affect job satisfaction in terms of education*, *Journal of Doğuş University*, 9(1), 4-5.
- Chang, E. (2003). Composite effects of extrinsic motivation on work effort: Case of Korean employees, *Journal of World Business*, 38 (1), 70-79.
- Crano, W. D. & Brewer, M. B. (2002). *Principles and methods of social research*. New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Goldsmith, A. H. Veum, R. &Darity, W. (2000). Working hard for the money? Efficiency wages and worker effort, *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 21 (4), 351-385.
- Gürüz, D. (1999). *Public Relations – Business Administration and Management of Advertising Agencies*, Ege University Publications of Faculty of Communication, İzmir.
- Howard, J. B. (2007). *A Study to Determine the Relationship Between Principals' Leadership Style and Teacher Motivation*. Doctorate Thesis, Capella University, Minneapolis
- Hume, D. A. (1998). *Reward Management*, Blackwell Publishers Ltd., USA.
- Keser, A. (2006). *Motivation in Working Life*, Alfa Akademi, İstanbul.
- Koçel, T. (2003). *Business Administration*, Beta Yayıncılık, İstanbul.
- Linz, S. 2004, Motivating Russian Workers: Analysis of Age and Gender Differences, *Journal of Socio-Economics*, 33 (3), 261-289.
- McNamara, C.& MBA, P. (2003). Basics about employee motivation-including steps you can take. *Web Address: <http://www.managementhelp.org/guiding/motivate/>*.
- Montes, J. L. Fuentes, M. F. & Fernandez, L. M. M. (2003). Quality management in banking services: An approach to employee and customer perceptions, total quality, *Management & Business Excellence*, 14 (3), 305-323.
- Nielsen, T.M. Bachrach D.G. Sundstrom E. &Halfhill T.R. (2012). Utility of OCB: Organizational citizenship behavior and group performance in a resource allocation framework, *Journal of Management*, 38(2), 1-27.
- Osterlah, M. B.S. Frey & J. Frost (2001). Managing motivation, organization and governance, *Journal of Management and Governance*, 23, 52-65.
- Özer, M. A. (2009). *Measurement and Appraisal of Perf. in Performance Management Practices*, *Journal of Court of Accounts*,73, 3-29.
- Pennington, P. W. (1997). *Principal Leadership and Teacher Motivation in Secondary Schools*. Doctorate Thesis, Tennessee State University Graduate School, Tennessee.
- Pierce, J. L. & Gardner, D. G. (2004). Self-Esteem within the work and organizational context: a review of the organization-based self-esteem literature, *Journal of Management*, 30 (5), 565-567.

- Raabe, B.&Beehr, T. (2003). Formal mentoring versus supervisor and coworker relationships: differences in perceptions and impact. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 24, 271-293.
- Smidts, A. Pruyn, A. T. H. & Riel, C. B. M, (2001). The impact of employee communication and perceived external prestige on organizational identification, *The Academy of Management Journal*, 44 (5), 1051-1062.
- Smith, T. M. (1999). *A Study of the Relationship Between Principal's Leadership Style and Teacher Motivation: The Teachers' Perspective*. Doctorate Thesis, Georgia State University College of Education, Georgia.
- Sproule, J. Wang, C. K. J. Morgan, K. Neill, M. M. & Morris, T. M. (2007). Effects of motivational climate in Singaporean physical education lessons on intrinsic motivation and physical activity intention, *Personality and Individual Differences*, 43 (5), 1037-1049.
- Standage, M. & Gillison, F. (2007). Students' motivational responses toward school physical education and their relationship to general self-esteem and health-related quality of life, *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 8 (5), 704-721.
- Tanrıverdi, S. (2007). *A case study on the relationship between participative school culture and work motivation of foreign language teachers*, Unpublished Master's Thesis, Yeditepe University Institute of Social Sciences, İstanbul.
- Taşpınar, F. (2006). *Effect of motivational tools on worker's motivation: A research on thermal tourism business in Afyonkarahisar province*, Unpublished Master's Thesis, Afyonkarahisar Kocatepe University, Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Business Administration, Ankara.
- Wikaningrum, T. (2007). Coworker exchange, leader-member exchange, and work attitudes. *GadjahMada International Journal of Business*, 9(2), 187-215.