

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BANK CREDITS AND REGIONAL GROWTH: THE CASE OF TURKEY

Fatma Sibel UĞURLU

Social Sciences Institute, İstanbul Aydın University, Turkey

1. Introduction

The relationship between credit use and economic growth has been investigated for a long time. However, researchers do not agree with each other about the direction of the relationship. Schumpeter (1911) state that banks give credits to allocate resources to innovative and productive fields. In some researches amount of used credits is used as a financial development indicator. Definition of credit growth is intermediates of deterioration in financial stability (Zeybek and Ugurlu,2013). Many types of research inspect the causality between financial development and economic growth and some of them (Jung,1986, Kar and Pentecost, 2000, Xu, 2000) found that causal relationship is from financial development to economic growth; in some papers (Shan et al. 2001, Kandır et al., 2007) relationship is from economic growth to financial development, and some (Luintel and Kahn, 1999, Ünalnıř, 2002, Demirhan et al. 2011, Karahan et al., 2011) found that relationship is a bidirectional. Although most of the papers find a relationship between these two variables, a few papers (Öztürk, 2008, Tuna and Bektař,2013, Kagochi et al., 2013) find no relationship between them.

Countries' primary goal for the economy is economic growth. It is affected by the exchange rate, import-export and many other variables (Ugurlu,2009). Also, regional economic differences are essential for governments. Governments aim to increase economic growth without creating inequality among regions. Income distribution is another concern for the policymakers which is related to economic growth; therefore policymakers concern with the distributional implications of government policies (Thalassinos et al., 2012). Obradović et al. (2016) discuss regional inequalities and state that according to OECD(2013) report regional gaps were increasing in the majority of OECD countries. Solow (1956) asserts that poorer countries' or regions' per capita incomes tend to grow at faster rates than richer ones. As it is explored worldwide, it is examined for the regions of the countries. Especially in Turkey, the Republic of Turkey Ministry of Economy (2014), investment incentives aim to reduce regional development inequalities (Gömleksiz et al. 2017). Many papers (Gezici and Hewings 2004, Erlat 2005; Yildirim et al. 2009; Ersungur and Polat 2010; Önder et al. 2010; Özgül and Karadag, 2015, Gömleksiz et al. 2017) study aim of the year of 2014 the Republic of Turkey Ministry of Economy. These papers aim to find out the existence of convergence between regions although all of these studies aim to consider regional differences each of one use different number of regions. Gezici and Hewings (2004) use 16 regions for the period of 1980-1997. Erlat (2005) uses seven regions for the period of 1975-2001, Yildirim et al. (2009) use 67 provinces for the period of 1987-2001, Ersungur and Polat (2010) use 12 NUTS 1 regions for the period of 1987-2000, Önder et al. (2010) 26 NUTS 2 regions for the period of 1980-2001, Özgül and Karadag (2015) use NUTS 2 regions for the period of 2004-2012 and Gömleksiz et al. (2017) 26 NUTS 2 regions for the period of 2004-2014. These papers use different methods such as OLS, panel data and time series methods.

In this paper, we aim to investigate the relationship between the amount of bank credits and economic growth among the province of Turkey for the 2004-2014 period. We use non-specialized loans deposit as bank credits variable and the GDP per capita an economic growth variable. Within this context, the contribution of this paper is twofold; first, it is one of the rare paper examines the relationship between banking credits and GDP per capita and second, it inspects this regional relationship approach.

The remained sections of the paper are three. Section 2 summarizes the data descriptively. Section 3 applies an empirical application and summarizes the main findings. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. Data Set

In this paper, the relationship between the two variables is investigated. The variables are bank credits and economic growth. Bank credits data is collected from The Banks Association of Turkey website (www.tbb.org.tr). The variable is

Non-specialized Loans Deposit (Million TL) in the section of “Information by Geographical Regions and Provinces” in the website. The Banks Association of Turkey divides loans into two groups; specialized loans and non-specialized loans although the banking regulator Banking Regulatory and Supervision Agency of Turkey (BDDK) divide it into three groups; general purpose loans, auto loans and housing loans (Zeybek and Ugurlu,2015). The second variable is economic growth, and it is collected from the TURKSTAT (www.tuik.gov.tr) website. Gross Domestic Product per capita (2009 base, USD) as economic growth. The abbreviations of variables are *PCI* for GDP per capita and *NSL* for Non-specialized Loans Deposit.

In this study, the data set has both cross-section and time series; it means that the data set is a panel data set. It includes 121 observations using 11 cross-sectional units and 11-time series units. The regions are 11 NUTS 1 regions. Although NUTS 1 (Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics) has 12 regions, we use 11 regions because one of the region -TR 1 İstanbul- is a city, whereas other regions are territorial units. Table 1 shows the NUTS 1 units’ code and name.

Table 1: Classification of Statistical Regional Units

Code	Region
TR6	Mediterranean
TR5	Western Anatolia
TR8	Western Blacksea
TR2	Western Marmara
TR9	Eastern Blacksea
TR4	Eastern Marmara
TR3	Aegean
TRC	South Eastern Anatolia
TRA	North Eastern Anatolia
TR7	Middle Anatolia
TRB	Middle Eastern Anatolia

Figure 1 shows the GDP per capita and means of the variable by regions. It can be understood from the graph there are differences regarding GDP per capita among the regions. Significantly Western Anatolia and Eastern Marmara differentiated from other regions because of their comparatively higher PCI. We can see four different groups from the graph according to their values and means. Two regions are in the first group; Western Anatolia and Eastern Marmara, also in the second

group, there are two regions; Western Marmara and Aegean. The third group includes Mediterranean, Western Blacksea, Eastern Blacksea, and Middle Anatolia. In the fourth group, there are three regions: South Eastern Anatolia, North Eastern Anatolia, and Middle Eastern Anatolia.




Figure 1. GDP per capita and Mean GDP per Capita

Also, Table 2 shows descriptive statistics of PCI by regions and total. The regions are ordered by mean of PCI, and the order of the regions is in line with the grouping above.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of PCI

Region	Mean	Max	Min.	Std. Dev.	Obs.
Western Anatolia	20058.36	31862	10995	6582.346	11
Eastern Marmara	19037.18	32059	9932	7080.017	11
Aegean	15764.45	26103	8657	5635.675	11
Western Marmara	16259.18	26571	8792	5797.57	11
Mediterranean	12999.09	21180	6997	4617.548	11
Middle Anatolia	11645.91	19686	6034	4503.732	11
Eastern Blacksea	11063.27	18574	5511	4161.714	11
Western Blacksea	10798.82	18130	5632	4128.055	11
North Eastern	8157.091	13851	4113	3324.619	11
South Eastern	7838.818	13481	4214	3040.122	11
Middle Eastern	7731.818	13040	3851	3068.18	11
All	12850.36	32059	3851	6300.259	121





Figure 2. Non-specialized Loans Deposit and mean of NSL

Figure 2 shows Non-specialized Loans Deposit by region. It should be noted that we use PCI at constant prices but NSL in current prices. Price indices or GDP deflator can deflate NSL. We assume that prices movements affect the interest rates and interest rates affect the amount of banking credits then we use the variable at constant prices. NSL is at constant prices because of the effect of the cost of prices or interest rates in the data generating process of NSL. For the NSL it is hard to divide the regions into groups because of vast differences among them. Table 3 helps the interpretation of differences between regions by banking credits. The regions are ordered using the mean of the NSL then the table shows that the Western Anatolia is the first region regarding the banking credits and Middle Eastern Anatolia is the last region. The order of the region by NSL similar to the order of the region by economic growth; thus it is acceptable to have a positive relationship between the variables.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of NSL

Region	Mean	Max	Min.	Std. Dev.	Obs.
Western Anatolia	20058.36	31862	10995	6582.346	11
Eastern Marmara	19037.18	32059	9932	7080.017	11
Western Marmara	16259.18	26571	8792	5797.57	11
Aegean	15764.45	26103	8657	5635.675	11
Mediterranean	12999.09	21180	6997	4617.548	11
Middle Anatolia	11645.91	19686	6034	4503.732	11
Eastern Blacksea	11063.27	18574	5511	4161.714	11
Western Blacksea	10798.82	18130	5632	4128.055	11
North Eastern	8157.091	13851	4113	3324.619	11
South Eastern	7838.818	13481	4214	3040.122	11
Middle Eastern	7731.818	13040	3851	3068.18	11
All	22168561	1.45E+08	490193	27793542	121

Figure 3 summarizes the relationship between two variables and also Figure 1, Figure 2, Table 1 and Table 2. In the diagram, gaps of TR5, TR4, and TR2 among other regions can be seen clearly. The gaps indicate the discrepancies between the regions for both PCI and NSL which were seen in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The diagram shows both the differences between regions and the positive relationship between two variables.

**Figure 3. Scatter diagram of NSL and PCI**

The descriptive statistics and data visualizations of the variables are preliminary results for the experimental method that show inconsistencies between regions and positive relationship between two variables.

3. Empirical Application and Results

In this paper, the data has both cross-section and time series features; thus it is named as panel data. Panel data models can be used by different techniques based on the characteristic of the data. For the simple regression model, the estimation is done by selecting among fixed effect, random effect and OLS estimators. Hausman (1978) proposed a test to choose the fixed effect model (FEM) and the random effect model (REM). In the test, the null hypothesis says that the unobserved effect is uncorrelated with the explanatory variables (Ugurlu,2010). If we reject the null hypothesis, this means that the (FE) model is valid.

In the first step, the Hausman test used to choose models; means that FEM versus REM and the p-value is found that 0.8055. Based on the result, we reject the null hypothesis; thus REM model was chosen. Table 4 shows the REM model results; NSL and intercept term are statistically significant in 0.01 significance level and model is significant in 0.01 level. Also model has high determination coefficients: 0.73 for within model, 0.8 for between model and 0.66 for overall.

Table 4: REM Model Results (Dependent Variable: PCI)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. error	P value
NSL	0.0001899	0.0000107	0.000
Intercept	8641.319	933.0974	0.000
R-sq			
	within	0.7344	
	between	0.5779	
	overall	0.6639	
Wald chi2(1)	316.10 (p=0.000)		
BPLM	161.11 (p=0.000)		

BPML statistics show the Breusch and Pagan lagrangian multiplier test results. This test is another test to decide to use of REM and FEM model; which has a null hypothesis that says random effects is appropriate. Thus we reject the null hypothesis and REM is appropriate.

We conclude that the non-specialized loans of the provinces have a positive effect on the economic growth of the provinces in Turkey. Whereas different regions

have different economic growth and different banking credits, they have the same relationship between banking credit and economic growth.

4. Conclusion

In this research, we investigate the relationship which is between banking credits and economic growth across 11 NUTS 1 region in Turkey for the period between 2004 and 2014. In this respect, we use panel data models to estimate the relationship. After the model selection test, we conclude to use REM model. The model results showed that the relationship between two variables across Turkish regions is positive and significant.

These results are beneficial for policymakers to construct regional economic equality. This paper may be considered as a start line for experiential literature. Other explanatory variables can be used in future studies. Also, Panel VAR technique can be used to see the direction of the causality between the variables.

References and notes:

- A. Ö. Önder & E. Deliktaş & M. Karadağ. "The Impact of Public Capital Stock on Regional Convergence in Turkey.", *European Planning Studies* 18,2010,pp. 1041–55.
- D. Unalmis. "The Causality Between Financial Development and Economic Growth : The Case of Turkey," Working Papers 0203, Research and Monetary Policy Department, Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey.2002
- E. Demirhan, O. Aydemir, O. & A.İnkaya. "The Direction of Causality between Financial Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Turkey" *International Journal of Management*,2011.
- E. Ugurlu. "Real Exchange Rate And Economic Growth: Turkey", *Manas University Social Sciences Journal*, 22,2009,pp.191-212
- E. Uğurlu. "Growth and Openness Relationship in the EU-15: Panel Data Analysis", *Ekonomika*, 89(2), 2010 pp. 44-54.
- E. Haluk. "Türkiye’de Bölgesel Yakınsama Sorununa Zaman Dizisi Yaklaşımı, in "Bölgesel Gelişme Stratejileri ve Akdeniz Ekonomisi", Edited by Haluk Erlat. Ankara: Türkiye Ekonomi Kurumu, 2015,pp. 251–76
- F. Gezici, & Geoffrey J. D. Hewings. Regional Convergence and Economic Performance of Peripheral Areas in Turkey. *Review of Urban and Regional Development Studies* 16,2004,pp. 113–32.
- I. Ozturk. "Financial development and economic growth: Evidence from Turkey" , *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, 8(1), 2008,pp. 85-98
- I. E., Thalassinos, E. Ugurlu, & Y. Muratoglu. "Income Inequality and Inflation in the EU." *European Research Studies Journal*, 15(1), 2012,pp.127-140
- I. Jindrichovska, E. Ugurlu, & D. Kubickova. "Changes in Capital Structure of Czech SMEs: A Dynamic Panel Data Approach." *Ekonomika a Management*, 2(3), 2013,pp.36-49.
- J Shan, A. Morris, & F. Sun. "Financial Development and Economic Growth: An Egg-Chicken Problem?", *Review of International Economics*, 9,2001,pp. 443-454.
- J. A. Schumpeter. *The Theory of Economic Development*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.1911.
- J. M Kagochi., O. M. Al Nasser & E. Kebede. "Does financial development hold the key to economic growth?: the case of sub-Saharan Africa.", *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 47(2), 2013,pp.61-79.
- J. Yildirim, N. Öcal, & S.Özyildirim. "Income Inequality and Economic Convergence in Turkey: A Spatial Effect Analysis.", *International Regional Science Review*, 32,2009,pp. 221–54.
- J. Hausman. "Specification Tests in Econometrics", *Econometrica*, Vol. 46, No.6, 1978 pp. 1251–1271.
- K. Tuna. & H. Bektaş. "Kredi Hacminin Ekonomi Büyüme Üzerindeki Rolünün İncelenmesi: Türkiye Örneği", *Finansal Araştırmalar ve Çalışmalar Dergisi*, 5,2013,s. .139-150.
- K.B. Luintel & K. Mosahid. "A quantitative reassessment of the finance-growth nexus: evidence from a multivariate VAR", *Journal of Development Economics*, Elsevier, vol. 60(2),1999,pp. 381-405.
- M Gömleksiz., A.Şahbaz, A. & B. Mercan. "Regional Economic Convergence in Turkey: Does the Government Really Matter for?", *Economies*, 5(3),2017. 27. doi:10.3390/economies5030027
- M. Kar. & E.J.Pentecost. "Financial Development and Economic Growth in Turkey: Further Evidence on the Causality Issue", *Loughborough University Financial and Economics Research*, Loughborough.2000.
- Ö. Zeybek & E.Uğurlu. "An empirical test to CBRT’s RER target, *European Journal of Research on Education*," Special Issue: Human Resource Management, 2013,pp.100-105

- Ö. Zeybek. & E. Uğurlu. "Nowcasting Credit Demand in Turkey with Google Trends Data, *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences*", X- 2(32),2015.pp. 293-300
- Ö.Karahan, M.Yilgör & A.A. Özekin. "Türkiye'cde Banka Kredilerindeki Genişleme ile Ekonomik Büyüme Arasındaki İlişki", *Finans Politik & Ekonomik Yorumlar* 55(636),2018,pp.25-55
- OECD. *OECD Regions at a glance (5th Edition)*, December 5, 2013, doi:10.1787/reg_glance-2013-en. 2013
- S. Obradović, N. Lojanica & O. Janković. "The Influence Of Economic Growth On Regional Disparities: Empirical Evidence From OECD Countries.", *Zbornik Radova Ekonomskog Fakulteta U Rijeci: časopis Za Ekonomsku Teoriju I Praksu / Proceedings of Rijeka Faculty of Economics: Journal of Economics and Business*,34(1), 2016,161-186. doi:10.18045/zbefri.2016.1.161
- S. M .Ersungur, & Ö. Polat. "Türkiye'de Bölgeler Arasında Yakınsama Analizi". *Atatürk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi* 8,2010,pp. 335-43.
- S. Özgül, & M.Karadağ. "Regional Convergence in Turkey Regarding Welfare Indicators". *Sosyoekonomi*, 23:2015,pp. 38-50.
- S.Y.Kandır & Önal, Y. B. İskenderoğlu. "Finansal Gelişme ve Ekonomik Büyüme Arasındaki İlişkinin Araştırılması." *Çukurova Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 16(2),2007,pp. 311-326
- W. S. Jung. "Financial Development and Economic Growth: International Evidence", *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 34(2),1986 pp.333-346.
- Z. Xu. "Financial Development, Investment, and Economic Growth." *Economic Inquiry*, 38, 2000,pp.331-344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7295.2000.tb00021.x>

XÜLASƏ

Regional İnkişaf və Bank kreditləri arasında münasibətlərin təhlili: Türkiyə təmsalında

Fatma Sibel UĞURLU
Sosial Elmlər İnstitutu,
İstanbul Aydın Universiteti, Türkiyə

Kreditlərin həcmi iqtisadi artım üçün mühüm amildir, amma onların əlaqələri barədə konkret fikir birliyi yoxdur. Bu mövzu iqtisadi ədəbiyyatda da geniş şəkildə araşdırılır və eyni zamanda region fərqliliyi də iqtisadi ədəbiyyat araşdırılan mühüm mövzulardan biridir. Bank kreditləri və iqtisadi artım regional mövzu kontekstində vacib faktordur. Bankçılıq kreditləri ilə Türkiyə bölgələrinin iqtisadi artımı arasındakı əlaqə 2004 və 2014 illər arasındakı illik məlumatlar əsasında analiz edilmişdir.

Nəzərdə tutulan bölgələr, Aralıq dənizi, Qərbi Anadolu, Qərb Qara dənizi, Qərbi Marmara, Şərqi Qara dəniz, Şərq Marmara, Ege, Cənub-Şərqi Anadolu, Şimali Şərqi Anadolu, Orta Anadolu və Orta Şərq Anadoludur. Bu məqsədlə, panel məlumat modelləri də məqalə araşdırmalarında dəyərləndirilmişdir. Təhlil nəticəsində, kreditlərin qadağan edilməsi ilə iqtisadi artım arasında əhəmiyyətli əlaqənin olması qənaətinə də gəlinmişdir.

Açar sözlər: bank kreditləri, regional fərqlilik, iqtisadi artım, iqtisadi ədəbiyyat, məlumat modelləri

РЕЗЮМЕ

Анализ отношений между региональным развитием и банковскими кредитами: Пример Турции

Фатма Сибел УГУРЛУ
Институт социальных наук,
Стамбульский университет Айдын, Турция

Объем кредитов является важным фактором экономического роста, но нет единого мнения об их отношениях. Этот предмет также широко изучается в экономической литературе, а разнообразие региона является одной из наиболее важных тем в экономической литературе.

Банковские кредиты и экономический рост являются важным фактором в региональном контексте. Взаимосвязь между банковскими кредитами и экономическим ростом регионов Турции была проанализирована на основе годовых данных за период с 2004 по 2014 год. Предлагаемые регионы: Анатолия, Средиземноморье, Западная Анатолия, Западное Причерноморье, Западная Мраморная, Восточное Причерноморье, Восточная Мраморная, Эгейское море, Юго-Восточная Анатолия, Северо-Восточная Анатолия, Центральная Анатолия и Средний Восток.

Для этой цели панельные модели данных также были оценены в статьях исследований. В результате анализа был сделан вывод о существенной взаимосвязи между запретом на кредит и экономическим ростом.

Ключевые слова: банковские кредиты, региональные различия, экономический рост, экономическая литература, модели данных.