

UNDERSTANDING SHAKESPEARE'S LANGUAGE AND THOUGHT THROUGH THE USE OF SIMILES AND METAPHORS

Mihaela CULEA

Vasile Alecsandri University of Bacău, Romania

Andreia-Irina SUCIU

Vasile Alecsandri University of Bacău, Romania

1. Introduction

William Shakespeare (1564–1616), the Bard of Avon, is commonly acknowledged as England's greatest writer (playwright and poet) of all time. Shakespeare is an iconic symbol of English and, by extension, British identity. While sketching the portrait of the English, Jeremy Paxman (2007) recognizes Shakespeare as a crucial icon of Englishness, perhaps given the existing tensions that have characterized relations between member states of the UK. However, by extension, he is an iconic personality of British identity, encapsulating the culmination of the literary achievement associated to the British nation.

In April 2016, the 400th anniversary of Shakespeare's death was celebrated. On this occasion, YouGov (a global public opinion and data company) conducted a survey among the British to see which of

Shakespeare's plays are most read and popular today. Rivalling with contemporary writers, Shakespeare ranked third as most popular writer, below J.K. Rowling (Harry Potter) and Terry Pratchett (Discworld series). This result confirms Shakespeare's enduring impact and significance over the centuries, despite the important temporal gap that separates the people of the twenty-first century from the ones of the Renaissance.

Many of the themes in his works are still valid today, and the suggestive value of his language has retained its vigour and significance. It is known that William Shakespeare wrote in Early Modern English so, after 450 years, the language he used may pose difficulties for contemporary readers. Early Modern English displayed lexical inventiveness and experimentation and Shakespeare's own input is worthy of attention (Crystal 2008: 160).

Shakespeare's legacy has sometimes been attributed to a collection of words or phrases he introduced in the language. However, his greatness does not lie in "the number of words he used but in the way he used them", as David Crystal underlines in "The Language of Shakespeare". Shakespeare made brilliant use of stylistic devices, so his use of language can be associated with or rather proves his artistic richness and inventiveness, his psychological insight and the complexity of his thought.

2. Similes

Definitions of simile present it as a figure of speech based on the explicit comparison of one thing with another thing of a different category, a sense of likeness being created. Linguistically speaking, similes are "comparison-based phrases that function as adjectives" (Morărașu 2010: 7–8) and which are indicated or signalled by the preposition "like", the adverb "as", the verb "seems", conjunction "as if/though". The following examples provided by Culea & Suci (ibid.) illustrate the resemblance of things belonging to different categories, as suggested by means of the similes: "He fought like a tiger" sends to the idea of great male courage and strength; "She's as meek as a lamb" indicates a woman's gentleness, kindness, dutiful nature and obedience; "She seems a mere ghost" alludes to a woman's sadness or suffering that can be visible in the thinness and weakness of her phantasmal physical aspect.

As far as some of the most important effects of similes in literary texts or in other discursive representations are concerned, according to Culea &

Suciu (2014: 136), they make a description more emphatic or vivid, clarify or potentiate an image, exaggerate a character feature or make it more noticeable, create lyricism or humour, dramatize, simplify, add clarity to language or make it more expressive. They may also reflect culturally conditioned representations that have generated stereotypes such as “as regular as a clock”, which can be used to refer to the German style of doing business, of dealing with arrangements and other regular activities in a way that reflects punctuality, order and efficiency.

The effects may vary in degree of lyricism, dramatization, suggestiveness or evocative force depending on whether we speak about commonplace, clichéd or fresh and innovative similes. The structure of similes (discussed by Morărașu 2010: 16-18) rests on three elements. The comparison is based on the tenor (the subject discussed), the vehicle (what the subject is likened to) and the semantic features the speaker believes the tenor and the vehicle have in common, which constitute the (common) ground, as in the examples discussed further.

The first set of examples from various literary texts was initially introduced in and only briefly discussed by Culea & Suciu (2014: 137-140), but is more minutely explained in the present paper with a view to showing how similes work in literary texts. Belonging to different literary periods, the texts below present persons or human features that are compared to metals or vegetal elements (flower parts or vegetables) to create a wide range of meanings.

i. “He was but a poor man himself, said Peggotty, but as good as gold and as true as steel – those were her similes” (Charles Dickens, *David Copperfield*, ch. 3, p. 39). The comparison suggests that the male character behaves very well, possesses precious features, is very loyal or reliable, and has a solid, firm character.

ii. “The students in their summer finery were scattered like petals over the green lawns, reading, talking, necking, or listening to their discoursing teachers” (David Lodge, *Nice Work*, p. 346). The colourful summer clothes of the students resemble the colourful petals of flowers, suggesting diversity, (yet) togetherness and (physical or maybe even intellectual) conspicuousness. Their colourful appearance, which is due to their clothing, may send not only to their physical aspect but is also an indication of their intellectual vividness, vivacity and inquisitiveness.

iii. "(...) my throat was dry and my brain as useless as a pumpkin" (William Golding, *Miss Pulkinhorn*, in *The Penguin Book of Modern British Short Stories*, 1987, p. 105). By comparing one's brain to a pumpkin, the narrator-character suggests that he was lacking any ideas, initiative or intellectual impulse. The character admits that he experiences a state of mental emptiness as suggested by the use of a container source, a(n) empty pumpkin.

The second set of examples is from some of Shakespeare's plays, mostly tragedies, shedding light on the world of the characters, their personality, feelings and drives, temporal or spatial dimensions, or the general themes of these works.

a. "Domitius Enobarbus: Alack, sir, no; her passions are made of nothing but the finest part of pure love: we cannot call her winds and waters sighs and tears; they are greater storms and tempests than almanacs can report: this cannot be cunning in her; if it be, she makes a shower of rain as well as Jove." (*Antony and Cleopatra*, I.2.148–53) In Enobarbus' description, Cleopatra's love is measured by comparison with the power of great natural forces through a process of hyperbolization. The intensity and extent of her love and "passions" when compared to Jove's/Jupiter's powers acquire impressive features. Cleopatra's outward stormy emotional manifestation of her temperamental and histrionic nature is hyperbolically similar to the power and abilities of the supreme god of the Roman pantheon, a god of light, of the sky and weather, both being able to cause showers of rain, storms and tempests although of a different kind.

b. "Never, Iago. Like the Pontic Sea,
Whose icy current and compulsive course
Ne'er feels retiring ebb, but keeps due on
To the Propontic and the Hellespont;
Even so my bloody thoughts, with violent pace,
Shall ne'er look back..." (*Othello*, III. 3. 455–60)

In this fragment, the firm determination of the character is commensurate with the sea's unfaltering course. It is the determination of evil intentions that obsesses the character as triggered by his jealousy. The unyielding and unstoppable power of jealousy is intimately connected to the thoughts of revenge. The adjectives that are comparatively projected unto his thoughts, such as "icy", "compulsive", "bloody", and "violent" anticipate his evil

deed, crime. By underlining the unwavering nature of his thoughts in performing evil, the character suggests, with the assistance of kinetic images and the repetition of the negative adverb “never”, that evil’s force never recedes and its course, once started, cannot be stopped.

c. “An eye like Mars to threaten and command,
A station like the herald Mercury
New-lighted on a heaven-kissing hill.” (Hamlet, III.4.57-9)

If in the previous fragments we identified the representation of the magnitude of emotions or of feelings, be they positive or negative, in this excerpt a former king’s personality is raised to divine rank due to its imposing grandeur. Hamlet reminds his mother of his dead father’s superhuman qualities. Through the comparison employed, he evokes him as a ‘demigod’ with godlike qualities, such as power, authority, bravery, like Mars’s, the god of war, and his eloquence, commercial skills, cunningness, like Mercury’s. Equally a good herald and messenger of power in his relations with other great men of state, his stature, connotatively speaking, is majestic and dominating, as if from a height.

d. “Be thou as chaste as ice, as pure as snow, thou shalt not escape calumny.” (Hamlet, III.1.137-8, Hamlet to Ophelia) Associated with “Frailty, thy name is woman” (I.2), the passage both recognizes Ophelia’s purity and innocence and repudiates her for exactly the same virtues, Hamlet further urging her to go to a nunnery. The whiteness of ice and snow indicate her (not only) bodily cleanliness and purity as a young maid, features that seem to be incompatible with the doings of society. In Hamlet’s view, despite her morality, she is doomed to have her reputation impaired because society spreads rumours and likes to gossip, or simply applies a negative view unto other people by means of generalization, without testing its validity. On the other hand, his ‘curse’ is the result of his distrust of women after his mother’s betrayal. He also extends the stereotype and believes she will inevitably be ‘infested’ by the same plague of infidelity because of her weakness. It seems that Ophelia’s unavoidable ill fortune cannot be prevented by her virtuousness, or so is Hamlet’s view in the context of his own spiritual and emotional dejection.

e. “My father’s brother – but no more like my father
Than I to Hercules.” (Hamlet, I.2.152-3, Hamlet)

Shakespeare often resorts to mythological figures when characterizing his *dramatis personae* in order to confer greatness and exceptionality to them. Hamlet makes use of a negative simile in this example so as to suggest the contrast between the present and the former king despite the fact that they were kinsmen. Claudius is no more like King Hamlet than Hamlet is like Hercules and other fragments come to complete the picture of the three men. Hamlet previously praised his father in superlative terms as “so excellent a king” (I.2.139), resembling “Hyperion” (I.2.140), the giant god of Greek myth. Hamlet sees Claudius as a “satyr” (*id.*), alluding to his lustful nature. In contrast, Hercules, son of Zeus, conveyed the idea of exceptional strength and action, being the greatest Greek hero, from whom Hamlet feels different. As we know, Hamlet is, in many cases, indecisive and cannot be characterized as a man of action with significant strength of character.

g. “To die is all as common as to live:
The one in chase, the other holds in chase;
For, from the instant we begin to live,
We do pursue and hunt the time to die.” (Edward III, IV.4.134-137)

Rooted in a Christian belief, the simile underlines the normality and naturalness of death. The polarity life-death is often feared but this interpretation that treats life and death equally comes in as comforting and reassuring. Man's trajectory in time from birth to expiation is unstoppable and unavoidable, as if part of a chase or race in which man follows the inevitable course of life towards death. The hunting language employed alludes to death and adds a note of hostility and domination. Nevertheless, it signals that every beginning has an end, as a part of a cycle, whose natural course is something man needs to accommodate with.

3. Conceptual metaphors. From thought to language

Next to irony and hyperbole, metaphor is one of the three traditional rhetorical figures, the numerous definitions of the concept (presented in Culea & Suciu 2014: 105-6) conveying useful information that enable a proper understanding of this figure of speech.

A figure of semantic deviation, a “similarity trope” (Plett 2010: 226), metaphor involves applying a word or phrase to a referent/field it does not literally designate so as to suggest comparison. More precisely, “one field or

domain of reference is mapped onto another on the basis of some perceived similarity between the two fields” (Wales 2001: 250).

Unlike similes, where the likeness is expressed explicitly by means of “like” or “as”, metaphors are linguistically built on the proposition X is Y, as in: “she is an angel”. According to certain critics, the term “metaphor” includes both metaphor and simile, with the latter making the comparison overtly marked by the use “as” or “like” (Norgaard et al. 2010: 107). As compared to similes, the semantic force of metaphors is greater since the subject is not merely as or like another but is said to be another as such. The process of (semantic) identification and ‘overlapping’ in “you are an angel” is, we believe, more forceful than in the case of “you are like an angel” and the overall effect is that metaphor seems more definite than simile. Used in Mathematics and Linguistics, the mapping operation implies associating an element of a set with an element of another set, the metaphorical mapping between different domains of human activity and experience creating innovative, compelling, even (sometimes) unusual connections.

The elements or components for analysis consist of the subject/tenor/target (domain), the metaphorical term/vehicle/source (domain), and the common meanings/ground/mappings or conceptual correspondences (Kövecses 2010) we should seek to identify. After extracting the semantic characteristics of the vehicle/source, we transfer them upon the tenor/target, a transfer that always takes place from source to target. The conceptual correspondences field validates this transfer and reflects those characteristics the two (though dissimilar) referents have in common or end up sharing. I.A. Richards’ terminology (1936) makes use of the terms “tenor” and “vehicle” with reference to the subject and the metaphorical term. More recent theorists in cognitive linguistics, such as Lakoff and Johnson (1980) employ the terms “target” and “source”.

Some functions – based on Culea & Suciú (2014: 112) – are related to the expressive force of metaphors for creating a poetic style, to their descriptive force, their aesthetic function, adorning language, the stimulating effect on imagination, the emotional load, the purging impact, the dramatizing value, the interpretative function as the mind is challenged to actively solve a problem or to see and interpret things in a new way, or the cognitive function as metaphors are involved in mental schemes of gaining and transmitting knowledge.

Linguistic approaches after the 1990s in cognitive linguistics were inspired by George Lakoff and Mark Turner's views from *Metaphors We Live By* (1980) which challenge us to identify the cognitive processes behind language acts and the abstract conceptual structures that underlie our linguistic choices. They posit that metaphor is essential to human thought, which means that we often resort to metaphor, where a concrete source is employed in order to understand an abstract target.

Kövecses (2010: 18–28) identified a number of frequently used source and target domains, a list which confirms that more concrete source domains of human life constitute the semantic 'supply' for our effort of understanding more abstract entities and realities of life. Commonly used source domains are: the human body, health and illness, animals, plants, buildings and construction, machines and tools, games and sport, money and economic transactions, cooking and food, heat and cold, light and darkness, forces, and movement and direction. Among the commonly used target domains we find emotion, desire, morality, society/nation, politics, economy, human relationships, communication, time, life and death, religion, or diverse events and actions. Kövecses (*ibid.*: 28) further places the target domains in higher categories or groups such as "psychological and mental states and events, social groups and processes, and personal experiences".

To give a recurrent example from European politics, the EU was depicted as a prison by nationalist politician Marine Le Pen (2016), as shown in the fragment below:

"The European Union has become a prison of peoples. Each of the 28 countries that constitute it has slowly lost its democratic prerogatives to commissions and councils with no popular mandate. Every nation in the union has had to apply laws it did not want for itself. Member nations no longer determine their own budgets. They are called upon to open their borders against their will."

The far-right French politician depicts organizations or countries as buildings, more precisely, as places of confinement. Buildings or containers are used as sources for a better understanding of society and politics (social groups and processes), whose structure, functioning and significance could be more difficult to grasp. It is not a random choice that the speaker thought of a place of detention to refer to the EU. Taking advantage of the Brexit

context, Le Pen wanted to transmit the idea of lack of freedom of choice and action and loss of rights (countries have “lost (...) democratic prerogatives”, “no longer determine their own budgets”), the use of coercion (“every nation in the union has had to apply laws it did not want for itself”), reluctant domination and the use of force (nations have “to open their borders against their will”). As we can see, the text itself unveils or deciphers the metaphor and thus assists the interpretation process. Moreover, serving to introduce the article, there is even an iconic representation of this metaphor by Nicolas Ortega who depicted the EU (symbolically represented by its flag) as an open, or perhaps broken, handcuff to equally suggest that the EU has become a detention centre and the British liberation from it. Why is the EU represented as a prison? It is perhaps because the idea of liberty and the republican spirit are highly valued by people in Europe.

If the nationalist political discourse often rests on striking and even shocking uses of language and semantic associations, the examples from two English literary works discussed below help the readers understand feminine identity and roles and an intellectual-aesthetic activity by means of more concrete and better known aspects of human experience. On the other hand, the woman as toy metaphor and the depiction of an intellectual activity as a body part not only simplify our understanding of complex aspects of reality but also reveal a particular way of thinking, which is deeply rooted in space and time.

i. Women were “(...) the helpless dolls (...) by doing needlework in the boudoirs of baronial castles” (A. S. Byatt, *The Biographer’s Tale*, p. 198). Instead of being treated as human beings, women are mere objects of male desire employed as servants. The ‘woman is puppet’ metaphor portrays women as sexual objects of rich men, officially doing menial work, while only their physical beauty and their erotic function mattered.

ii. In Alan Bennett’s *The Uncommon Reader*, “reading is (...) a muscle” (Alan Bennett, *The Uncommon Reader*, p. 100). The metaphorical image associates reading with a body part, the embodiment of the intellectual activity, by its concreteness, inviting the reader to understand more easily something of a more abstract nature by means of a concrete referent. The ordinariness of personal experience with the human body enables the readers to understand that reading needs training, exercise and patience in order to amplify the aesthetic pleasure and develop interpretation skills.

The complexity of Shakespeare's thought as well as the cultural conditioning of this thought is abundantly shown in his plays, as further developed in the next section of this article.

a. "When we are born we cry that we are come
To this great stage of fools." (King Lear, IV. 6. 187, Lear)

The "life/world is a stage" metaphor is common in philosophical thought and literature. Appealing to the image of life as a play, the character wails the transience of life and expresses his deep sorrow for the fleeting nature of life. It recognizes life's similarity with a theatrical performance or drama, which were highly popular forms of cultural expression and entertainment in those times. By bridging this connection between human life and a theatrical performance, people could more easily have comprehended the writer's message that, while alive, men perform various roles and wear masks, are doomed by misfortune, sometimes make unwise decisions, or that man's temporal itinerary from young to old age is all too brief, resembling the acts in a play, presenting man as a short-lived actor. According to this interpretation, when born, man laments having come to life and cries, aware of the foolishness of his dreams and aspirations attached to a very short life.

b. "Welcome hither.
I have begun to plant thee, and will labour
To make thee full of growing." (Macbeth, I.4.27, Duncan to Banquo)

Further validating the creator's ingeniousness, this fragment makes use of the vegetal field in order to refer to persons and, by extension, human life. From Kövecses' categories (2010) we identify life/human beings as a target and plants as a source, suggesting evolution, stages of growth, the necessity of working the soil and fertilizing it, the bearing of fruit, as well as change and development over time. Planting and growing a plant sends here to rank, social ascension, growth in status by the conferring of titles as the noblemen's public position will be further 'nourished' by being given new titles, such as those of "thane of Glamis", "thane of Cawdor", as the prophecies reveal about Macbeth. The king's special use of gardening words ("to plant", "will labour", "full of growing") presents him as (similar to) a gardener who is carefully preparing and cultivating his land for rich crops. As a king, he is in a position to make his noblemen 'grow' too, by

bestowing titles upon them. Banquo understands this and enforces his loyalty and commitment, ready to grow near the king's heart and wilful to yield all his 'crop' to him, his courage, bravery and even his life: "There if I grow/ the harvest is your own" (I.4.32-33).

We can see thus that a concrete domain, nature, sends to an abstract one regarding matters of state, public functions and their associated socio-political aspects. For Shakespeare, nature was a favorite motif, reflecting not only the bond between man and nature but also the Elizabethans' fondness for nature, particularly gardening and gardens. The playwright mentioned a great variety of plants in his works and proved both passion and knowledge about the vegetal world, Caroline Spurgeon showed in a study from 1961, *Shakespeare's Imagery and What It Tells Us* (cited by Percec & Șerban in Rață 2010: 310), confirming Shakespeare's fascination with the "vitality and strength of plants and seeds" (id.).

Banquo has also previously used the vegetal metaphor, asking for a prophecy from the witches, as shown below:

"If you can look into the seeds of time,
And say which grain will grow and which will not,
Speak then to me, who neither beg nor fear
Your favours nor your hate." (Macbeth, I.3.61-64)

Again, Banquo's gardening words connotatively refer to rank and social position, even kingship. Here, time or life itself is a plant growing from multiple seeds, suggesting that there were more possibilities regarding one's evolution and development. Some of them will be brought to fruition, while some other "grains" are doomed to fail.

These representations are related to a similar one from Hamlet, as follows:

"Tis an unweeded garden
That grows to seed; things rank and gross in nature
Possess it merely." (Hamlet, I.2.135-7, Hamlet)

Imagining the world (or Denmark, which was closer to his concerns) as a garden, Hamlet employs the vegetal metaphor with a different aim. Evil manifested as corruption and regicide has destroyed the order and beauty of the garden, as suggested by the rankness and grossness of things that have invaded it. Evil has taken over lushly, its abundance being complemented by

its offensive smell (with the aid of olfactory images), sending to corruption. Likewise, the state of disorder in the garden (as it is unweeded) mirrors the state of Denmark caused by Claudius' evil deeds growing wild just like the troublesome, valueless weeds grow in a garden. It is the danger of possessing the entire state that Hamlet fears, as these evil deeds can only produce others, seeking to destroy the whole garden/state.

In his study entitled "The Secret Garden" (2004), Peter Ackroyd's remarks about the significance of gardens for the English further confirm that these spaces are an important component of national identity and the British character in general. The British in general have an appetite for gardens and gardening, with the majority of English houses having a small garden. It appears that gardening is "a national pursuit with truly native characteristics" (Ackroyd 2004: 413), reflecting features of English character. Speaking about Shakespeare's context, the diversity of plants also attracted the Elizabethans, who were discovering new "strange herbs, plants and annual fruits" that were "brought from all parts of the world" (ibid.: 417) as a result of extensive travelling and exploration. Fascinated with gardens, it is no wonder then that the English published so many garden(ing) books by the end of the seventeenth century which might lead one to "conclude that England itself was one large garden" (ibid.: 418).

c. "Thou art the thing itself: Unaccommodated man is no more but such a poor, bare, forked animal as thou art." (King Lear, III.4.106-107)

Disappointed with the unjustness of life, the cruelty and ingratitude of his daughters and civilization's traps and deceits, the raging Lear realizes, while seeing Poor Tom, that the essence of humanity is common to both kings and the lowest men and can be found if one is stripped of the many facilities and sophistications of civilization, like clothes. Symbolically, clothes stand for appearance, the naked body sending to one's essential humanity and true self. On the other hand, "the thing itself" means the original, primeval man, unadorned by society, and "unaccommodated" sends to the condition of man in such a state. Almost reduced to a state of animality or bestiality, lacking any spiritual dimension, man in extreme poverty resembles Rousseau's natural man in a negative way, because his nakedness generates compassion and pity and suggests that the bestiality of men arises when the bonds between members of society are broken. In a state of nature, man is both free from the deceptions of civilization and also reduced to a degraded condition.

d. “Beware, my Lord, of jealousy,
It is the green-eyed monster which doth mock
The meat it feeds on.” (Othello, III.3.165-167, Iago)

Iago slyly perceives jealousy as a destructive monster as an illustration of the more general metaphorical representation feelings, emotions and desire are animals. Green is the colour of jealousy and the phrase “green-eyed jealousy” is now included in English dictionaries with the mentioning that it was coined by Shakespeare. The monster source is associated with great size, cruelty, wickedness and deformity, a frightening beast-like creature devouring its victim. In fact, the victimizer is his own victim here as the force of this passion derides the one that experiences it, so it has a self-destructive power, too. The play’s development confirms that Othello will be consumed by his own jealousy, which will further determine him to commit evil.

e. “Death,
The undiscovered country, from whose bourn
No traveller returns”. (Hamlet, III.1.78-80, Hamlet)

Hamlet’s existential questions and concerns voiced in his soliloquies are centred on life and death matters. Here, targets such as life and death are metaphorically represented in terms of movement and direction. Life is a journey towards death, man is a traveller, or death is a final destination (an unknown realm) are some associated metaphorical ideas. First of all, the movement and direction source domain imply goals, a starting point, evolution, progress, obstacles or tests, discovery, change or transformation, and a destination or end point. Death appears to be an “undiscovered country”, the destination of every man, reflecting our fear of the unknown, or that death is something we know nothing about. This realm’s mystery is to be ‘solved’ with death’s arrival, to keep within the bounds of the metaphor, although the regret of having no first-hand knowledge remains since no one returns from this place. The representation of death as a country evokes man’s attachment to space, to a defined territory with demarcated boundaries and distinctive features, although the nostalgic note of inescapability, unknowability and irreversibility remains.

f. “There are many events in the womb of time,
which will be delivered.” (Othello, I.3.369-70, Iago to Roderigo)

The depiction of time as a woman's womb sends to the higher level metaphorical conception of time as a person/human body. Again, the image appeals to the human being's experience with his/her own body, an experiential dimension which is all too familiar and ordinary to every man. The abstract entity of time can thus be 'translated' into a concrete and familiar referent and its meaning can be more easily grasped.

The gestation (organ) source, with its corresponding linguistic choices ("womb", "to deliver"), sends to development, evolution and growth or maturation, which mirror Iago's well-conceived and patiently developed plans and schemes concerning Othello. The personified metaphor reveals that time will produce its offspring, just as his plans will engender their own results when the time is right. Iago suggests that time is full of unknown or "undelivered" events that will come to fruition only when they are due, according to well devised plans. The image of the woman as a child bearer is here negatively though indirectly employed since Iago's intentions and the "events" he prepares are villainous. It nevertheless resorts to the mother figure in order to suggest fertility, here, the fecundity of time's course.

Conclusions

William Shakespeare played an important role in the transformation and enrichment of the English language, while perhaps his greatest merit lies in the artful employment of language. According to David Crystal, "only 5 to 10 per cent of Shakespearean English is different from modern English" and yet it continues to put forward understanding problems for contemporary readers.

His linguistic artistry also rests on the use of similes and metaphors, which are, among other figures of speech, key linguistic tools for understanding the world of his characters, the major themes, and the entire world (re)presented and (re)created in his works. In effect, his works have made a significant contribution to the English literature and have shed light on the culture and society of those times. Through Shakespeare's artful use of language, we can gain insight into the mentality of those times, while his artistic magnitude and impact have outlived their creator to forever echo throughout the centuries. Finally, to quote David Crystal's view, "from Shakespeare we learn how it is possible to explore and exploit the resources of a language in original ways, displaying its range and variety in the service of the poetic imagination" (2008: 232).

References and notes:

Literary works

- Bennett, Alan. *The Uncommon Reader*, London: Faber and Faber Ltd, Profile Books Ltd., 2008.
- Byatt, A.S. *The Biographer's Tale*, London: Vintage Books, 2001.
- Dickens, Charles. *David Copperfield*, London: Penguin Popular Classics, 1994.
- Golding, William. *Miss Pulkinhorn*, in *The Penguin Book of Modern British Short Stories*, edited by Malcolm Bradbury, London: Penguin Books, 1987, pp. 99–107.
- Lodge, David. *Nice Work*, London: Penguin Books, 1988.
- Shakespeare, William. *The Complete Works of William Shakespeare*, Hertfordshire: Wordsworth Editions, 1998.

Critical Works

- Ackroyd, Peter. "The Secret Garden". *Albion. The Origins of the English Imagination*, London: Vintage, 2004, pp. 411-18.
- Crystal, David. *'Think on My Words': Exploring Shakespeare's Language*, Cambridge: University Press, 2008.
- Crystal, David. "The Language of Shakespeare". Web. www.davidcrystal.com/?fileid=-4864, accessed April 15, 2019.
- Culea, Mihaela, and Andreia-Irina Suciuc. *Introduction to Literary Interpretation. From Theory to Practice (Narrative Strategies, Discourse Presentation and Tropes)*, Konstanz: Hartung-Gorre Verlag, 2014.
- Kövecses, Zoltán. *Metaphor. A Practical Introduction*, second edition, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.
- Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson. *Metaphors We Live By*, Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press, 1980.
- Le Pen, Marine. "After Brexit, the People's Spring Is Inevitable". *The New York Times*. June 28, 2016. Web. <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/06/28/opinion/marine-le-pen-after-brexit-the-peoples-spring-is-inevitable.html>, accessed 04 June, 2019.
- Norgaard, Nina; Montoro, Rocio; Busse, Beatrix. *Key Terms in Stylistics*, New York: Continuum, 2010.
- Paxman, Jeremy. *The English: A Portrait of a People*, London: Penguin Books, 2007.
- Percec, Dana, and Andreea Șerban. "English Garden Design, Plants and Horticultural Techniques along History: from the 16th to the 20th Century", in Rață, Georgeta (ed.). *Teaching Foreign Languages: Languages for Special Purposes*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2010, pp. 308-19.
- Plett, Heinrich F. *Literary Rhetoric: Concepts – Structures – Analyses*, Leiden, Boston: Brill, 2010.
- Punter, David. *Metaphor*, London & New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2007.
- Richards, I.A. *The Philosophy of Rhetoric*, London and New York: Oxford University Press, 1936/1964.

-
- Wales, Katie. *A Dictionary of Stylistics*, second edition, London: Longman Pearson Education, 2001.
- <https://yougov.co.uk/topics/lifestyle/articles-reports/2016/04/22/shakespeare-400>
- <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/mapping>, accessed June 04, 2019.
- <https://www.dictionary.com/browse/green-eyed-monster>, accessed May 23, 2019.
- <https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/article/shakespeares-language>, accessed April 15, 2019.

XÜLASƏ

Bənzətmə və metoforlardan istifadə etməklə Şekspirin dil və düşüncəsinin anlaşılması

Mihaela CULEA

Bakau Vasile Alecsandri Universiteti, Rumıniya

Andreia-Irina SUCIU

Bakau Vasile Alecsandri Universiteti, Rumıniya

Bu məqalədə Şekspirin anadan olmasından 450 il sonra, Bard'ın əbədi mədəniyyət, linqvistik mirasının qeyd olunması və anlaşılması üçün öz töhfəsini verməyi əsas hədəf kimi götürülmüşdür. Şekspir dilinin istifadəsinə baxarkən məqalədə dramaturqun linqvistik yaradıcılığını zəngin bir şəkildə ortaya qoyan iki əsas faktora- danışmaq və metaforaya işarə edilir. Mövzu ilə əlaqədar məqaləyə kiçik giriş edildikdən sonra, iki danışmaq modeli nəzəri cəhətdən açıqlanmış və sonra məqalənin orta bölümündə ingilis dilində ədəbi mətnlərdən nümunələr göstərərək müqayisəli təhlil aparılmışdır. Bu baxımdan, Şekspirin dil və düşüncəsinin daha yaxşı başa düşülməsini asanlaşdırmaq üçün məqalədə Şekspirin əsərlərindən, xüsusilə də faciələrindən bir sıra bölümləri anlamaq üçün təsir dairəsini darlaşdırır.

Açar sözlər: Vilyam Şekspir, Britaniyalılıq, İngilislilik, bənzətmə, (dərkətmə) metafora, , dil, düşüncə

РЕЗЮМЕ

Понимание языка и мышления Шекспира, используя аналогию и метафору.

Михаела КУЛЕА

Университет Бакау Василе Александри, Румыния

Андрея-Ирина СУЧИУ

Университет Бакау Василе Александри, Румыния

В этой статье, была взята главной целью для содействия празднованию и пониманию языкового наследия вечная культура Барды, спустя 450 лет после рождения Шекспира. Рассматривая использование языка Шекспира, статья указывает на два основных фактора, раскрывающие широкое лингвистическое творчество драматурга, которые называются речью и метафорой.

После краткого тематического введения в статью, теоретически были раскрыты два пояснительных предмета, а затем в средней части статьи были приведены примеры из литературных текстов на английском языке и проведен сравнительный анализ.

В этой связи в статье, с целью облегчения и улучшения понимание языка и мысли Шекспира, статья сужает сферу влияния ряда разделов произведений Шекспира.

Ключевые слова: Уильям Шекспир, британский, английский, аналогия, метафора, язык, мысль