

EVALUATION OF ETHNIC IDENTITY PERCEPTIONS OF TURKISH ORIGIN IMMIGRANTS LIVING IN GERMANY IN TERMS OF SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL TERMS

Aslı YAYAK

Bursa Technical University, Turkey

Introduction

Nowadays, which the social groups that are far from each other live together, the relations between the groups become increasingly complex and cause some conflicts. As different social groups start to live together, groups' search for identity is a serious problem and identity-centered discussions maintain their importance.

In the social sciences in general, and in private in the field of social psychology on "identity" there were studies done in the past and there has been still a wide variety of research carried out today. Considering that each individual has an identity, it can be accepted that the concept is not new, but it is a concept that is more prominent in modern societies. Identity, which is a means of identifying and bringing out both himself and other individuals in the society, includes a perception and construction process. In recent years, one of the most important reasons for increasing the number of studies on (ethnic) identity is that identity is a key word in

conceptualizing the relationship between individual and society (Verkuyten, 2005). Jenkins (1996; trans., Verkuyten, 2005) described the identity as "the best tool I have ever known that brings together public problems and special problems". The concept tells us how people position themselves and others in the social environment, how these positions are valuable and meaningful for them. Political, economic, cultural and demographic transformations carry on parallel with the changes in the relations between the individual and society. It is seen that the identity problem has an increasing importance in the economic and cultural changes, the vanishing of dominant ideologies and traditional symbols, the rapid exchange of information, the wide mobility of goods, services and people, and the increasing number of national and international studies. Ethnic, religious and cultural diversity is a growing reality in many countries of the world. This diversity puts all individuals and states in a difficulty to deal with the troublesome problems of identity (Verkuyten, 2005).

Temporal-spatial differences and changing the perception of identity, depending on the 'other', will help the individual to understand who he is, what, where he / she is, and to get to know others and to estimate the distance between them (Hortaçsu, 2007). What is meant by the term is the meaning of the social environment in which people are born and grew up with periodic conditions, or they have an impact on the identity perception of individuals. Social, economic, political and cultural developments and the experiences of the individual have an impact on the perception of identity. With globalization, the removal of the borders and the world becoming a medium-sized town, the notion that identity differences will decrease the importance, the increasing ethnic-based identity conflicts in many different points of the world still cause the issue to remain one of the main agenda items of the world.

The purpose of this study, evaluate the identity perceptions of immigrants from Turkey origin living in Germany in terms of social and psychological aspects. In the research, it is aimed to determine what values are prominent in the construction of identity, how the individuals define themselves over which values, and the internal-external group perceptions. The theoretical basis of the study is the offering of contradictory identities suggested by Verkuyten.

At this point, the definition of ethnic identity will be appropriate. Ethnic identity is the belonging feeling; whether a person or a group connects themselves to a common ancestor, language, culture and geography, separates themselves from "others", with festivals, feasts and traditions, which are the product of unknown or common culture before nationalism. What is essential here is whether the individual sees himself as part of this society (Renan, 2012: 86).

In the suggestion of Verkuyten on contradictory identities, the perception of ethnic identity is evaluated in four dimensions. The first one is the ethnic label used for self-identification or self-labeling. This label is more about how one feels about himself or what he knows about himself; defines what the person or ethnicity he / she has (Verkuyten, 2005). Participants may be asked about 'what they actually are', 'ethnicity of their parents', or references (qualification) to an ethnic label according to the observable characteristics of strangers.

The second dimension (feeling dimension) expresses the feelings of one's ethnic identity. People may use an ethnic label but that does not mean that they have a strong sense of belonging or positive emotions towards their ethnic identity. Among the individuals who define themselves as belonging to the same ethnic group, the sense of belonging and belonging that they feel may vary significantly. There may also be individual differences in the degree to which people accept their ethnic identity and feel positive about it. In addition, the emotional meanings, tastes and satisfaction level of individuals' ethnic identities may vary (Verkuyten, 2005).

The third dimension is about ethnic participation (doing dimension). According to Phinney (1990), this is the most commonly used indicator of ethnic identity and is also the most problematic. The actual participation of the individual in social life and intra-group ethnic-cultural practices; for example, the use of language, patterns of friendship, cultural traditions and symbols (such as celebrations, music, dresses, food and newspapers) are evaluated through participation in ethnic organizations, political and religious activities.

The final dimension of knowing is the extent to which people are aware of the culture and history of the ethnic group to which they belong, and to what extent they are concerned. In-group history and culture knowledge allows the person to discover different meanings / experiences in this context and to position himself / herself according to others. The

dimension of knowing also gives an idea of the ideological dimension of experiences, acquired rights, inter-group members interacting with other groups and society as a whole (Sellers et al., 1998).

Method

For examining the social identity perceptions of the immigrants according to Verkuyten's four-dimensional model, a semi-structured interview form prepared by us was taken into consideration under these four dimensions. 16 semi-structured questions were formed on the identity and belonging of immigrants in this form which was developed to help a qualitative analysis. There are 16 questions in total, 4 of which are in each dimension, in which the dimensions of being, knowing, feeling and doing are used. In the study, said the immigrant from Turkey on the basis of their own subjective evaluation through the four dimensions, identification has been resolved. Data collection was carried out through face-to-face interviews. It was stated that the same volunteer information form was read and signed, the names were kept confidential, the interviews were not used outside of the research, the interviews were more of a chattering and there was no right-wrong evaluation of the interviews, only the opinions of the individual were crucial.

In each semi-structured form, each interview lasted for 30 minutes and was then recorded and recorded. Interviews were conducted in different settings; sometimes in the home of the participants, sometimes in the workplace, sometimes in public cafes, pastry, such as places were carried out. The participants were encouraged to be alone in the meetings in order to make them feel comfortable. The recorded interviews were later deciphered. An interview with open-ended questions lasted an average of 30 minutes and a total of over 3000 minutes of interview was decoded. In accordance with the structure of the deciphering method, the interviews were made exactly as they were heard without any correction.

The information obtained from the semi-structured questions in the study was analyzed through content analysis. Independent referees were used to examine the answers to the questions related to the four identity dimensions both in terms of their suitability to the dimension they were related to and to determine the level of agreement between the four dimensions. The scoring and evaluation process related to the perception of identity was carried out by two professors who knew both Turkish and German culture and a civilian expert in social pedagogy. The raw data

were first collected by the researcher under the headings related to the four dimensions, and then these categories were presented for the evaluation of the referees. The referees were asked to determine how these responses were related to the relevant dimension of the Turkish identity. Finally, it was determined that each participant exhibited a degree of agreement on the four identity dimensions using the response categories obtained. With of this method, there was a chance to analyze both the contents of the responses and a numerical value for the agreement level between the identity dimensions for each participant. These numerical values were used for correlation and regression analyzes. For the quantitative analysis of the data (descriptive statistics, scale reliability calculations, correlation analysis and regression analysis), SPSS for Windows 20.0 Statistical Package Program was used.

Findings

A total of 101 volunteers were interviewed during the 12-month period. 58 of these people are female and 43 are male. The age range of the sample ranges from 21 to 50, with a 39.01 age average. Within the participants; there are people from different ethnic / religious groups such as Alewi, Zaza, Kurd, Azeri and Sunni. 74 people were born in Turkey and of other people were born in Germany. 35 of the 101 people are German citizens, 51 citizens are from the Republic of Turkey, and the rest of 15 people were dual citizens. The average staying period in Germany is approximately 26 years.

The qualitative data acquired from the participants were presented to three experts separately from the four identity dimensions of Verkuyten and they were asked to evaluate the level of representation of each response on a five-point Likert-type scale. Then, by taking an average of the decisions of the three evaluators for each response, the individual means of the four dimensions of the participants were calculated.

To find out the degree of agreement between the dimensions, it was determined whether the participants were above or below the group average in each identity dimension. Participants were grouped at least in three dimensions above the average and named as high match group ($n = 33$). Only a second group called “moderate match group ($n = 37$) was formed from the participants, who were above the average in only two identity dimensions. Finally, the remaining participants were evaluated under the name of ”low matching group ($n = 28$). It was assumed that the

level of agreement between the four dimensions was higher than the other two groups. In the Table I the average and standard deviation values of the scores of the groups on four identity dimensions given. The averages indicate that the averages of low, medium and high match levels are increasing in the expected direction. In addition, it is understood that the in the sample group's identity dimensions the highest average point in the dimension of Feeling (3.06) and the lowest point taken in the Knowing dimension (2.59).

Table I. Averages and Standard Deviation Values of Participants in Low, Medium and High Level Groups From the View of Four Identity Dimension Points.

	Groups						Total (N = 98)	
	Low (N = 28)		Medium (N = 37)		High (N = 33)			
<i>Dimensions:</i>	<i>Ort.</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>Ort.</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>Ort.</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>Ort.</i>	<i>SS</i>
Being	2.56	.38	2.72	.37	2.98	.30	2.77	.39
Knowing	2.33	.39	2.60	.35	2.80	.20	2.59	.37
Feeling	2.84	.29	3.03	.30	3.29	.23	3.06	.32
Doing	2.64	.24	2.86	.38	3.10	.27	2.88	.36

One-way analysis of variance was performed to test whether the differences between the levels were statistically significant. The findings point out that the three levels differed meaningfully from each other in all four dimensions. (see, Table II).

In the *posthoc Tukey and Bonferroni* analyzes conducted separately to observe the direction of the differences, it was found that the level of significance was not reached in only one sample (between being dimension, low and medium levels). (see Table III). All other comparisons indicate the existence of significant differences.

		Squares Total	Free ness	Average Square	F Value	Meaningfulness Level
Being	Intra groups	2,670	2	1,335	10,789	,000
	In-group	11,756	95	,124		
	Total	14,426	97			
Knowing	Intra groups	3,372	2	1,686	16,311	,000
	In-group	9,820	95	,103		
	Total	13,193	97			
Feeling	Intra groups	3,049	2	1,525	20,356	,000
	In-group	7,115	95	,075		
	Total	10,164	97			
Doing	Intra groups	3,293	2	1,646	17,201	,000
	In-group	9,092	95	,096		
	Total	12,385	97			

Table II. One-Way ANOVA Analysis Results of the Low, Medium and High Level Groups' Average Differences in All Sizes

Dependent Variance (Identity Dimensions)	(I) Level	(J) Level	Average Difference (I-J)	Meaningfulness Level
Being	Low	Medium	-.15	.200
		High	-.41	.000
	Medium	Low	.15	.200
		High	-.26	.008
	High	Low	.41	.000
		Medium	.26	.008
Knowing	Low	Medium	-.26	.004
		High	-.47	.000
	Medium	Low	.26	.004
		High	-.21	.022

	High	Low	.47	.000
		Medium	.21	.022
Feeling	Low	Medium	-.19	.019
		High	-.44	.000
	Medium	Low	.19	.019
		High	-.25	.001
	High	Low	.44	.000
		Medium	.25	.001
Doing	Low	Medium	-.22	.017
		High	-.46	.000
	Medium	Low	.22	.017
		High	-.25	.003
	High	Low	.46	.000
		Medium	.25	.003

Table III. One-Way ANOVA Analysis Results of the Average Differences of Low, Medium and High Level Groups in All Dimensions.

The above findings put forward that the groupings for the determination of the matching level are appropriate.

Result

Only a century ago, immigrants who made their identities in their countries of origin through in religion and nationality started to use this feature in their definitions in the century as well as in the definition of different characteristics of identity. The nation-state citizens, including, but not as citizens of a single country, in the coming years "identity" could easily be said that further differentiate the concept of diversification for people. Although it is possible to see each of the elements such as ethnic origin, religious belief, age, gender, occupation, political opinion, national belonging in all people, it is not possible to find the same dose in two different people - even if they are two. This is where the identity is unique. While we have common or similar characteristics with millions of people, these features also provide us with a specificity that distinguishes us from others.

In the research, it may be useful to focus on the content of qualitative data to understand what the findings related to identity variables average. As it is known, participants were also asked where they felt most stated the other and how they developed strategies for dealing with them and how

they opened themselves. Common answer of the participants is the country in which they are located at that moment is what they feel there. "German Turk in Turkey, where Auslander / alien" as they accepted and therefore wherever it is they stated that they are always perceived as "other". To deal with this, they added that they had social support from people with immigrant backgrounds who felt like themselves.

In this study, it has been tried to grab attention how different people with many common characteristics are actually different from each other. Findings tell that in the direction of the average of the scores obtained and formed through the four dimensions of "high matching", "medium matching" and "low matching" groups based on four dimensions of Verkuyten. As it is seen from the interviews and the obtained answers, the identity is the only factor that has a multi-leveled structure and which makes us the only ones. Our perception of identity affects and directs our attribution meanings to events that we experience and our evaluations.

References and notes:

- Hortaçsu, N. *Me, you, us, each of us: Social Identity and Intergroup Relations*. Ankara: İmge Kitabevi.2007
- Jenkins, R. . *Social identity*. London: Routledge.1996
- Phinney, J. . Ethnic identity in adolescents and adults: A review of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108, 1990,pp. 499–514.
- Renan, E. . What is Nation? Mümtaz'er Türköne (Ed.), *in Nations and Nationalisms* . İstanbul: Etkileşim.2012.pp.43-57
- Sellers, R. M., Smith, M. A., Shelton, J. N., Rowley, S. A. J. & Chavous, T. M. . Multidimensional model of racial identity: A reconceptualization of African American racial identity. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 2, 1998,pp.18–39.
- Verkuyten, M. . *The Social Psychology of Ethnic Identity*. New York: Psychology Press Taylor & Francis Group.2005

XÜLASƏ

Sosial- psixoloji şərtlər çərçivəsində Almaniya da yaşayan Türk miqrantlarının etnik şəxsiyyətin qavranılmasında qiymətləndirmə

Aslı Yayak
Bursa Texniki Universiteti, Türkiyə

Bu məqalənin məqsədi, Almaniya da yaşayan türk miqrantların və onların sosial və psixoloji problemlərinin araşdırılması ilə bağlıdır. Araşdırmada kimliyin müəyyən olunmasında hansı dəyərlərin önə çıxdığını və daxili və xarici faktorları araşdırmaqdır.

Məqalənin nəzəri təməli, Verkuyten tərəfindən önə sürülən müxtəlif şəxsiyyətlərin təqdimatıdır. Miqrantların sosial kimlik faktorlarını Verkuytenin dörd hissədən ibarət olan modelinə görə araşdırmaq üçün miqrantların şəxsiyyətləri ilə bağlı 16 yarı-hissədən ibarət olan sual hazırlanmışdır. Araşdırma nəticələri Verkuyten'in olmaq, bilmək, hiss etmək və həyata keçirmək kimi dörd faktoruna əsaslanan "yüksək səviyyədə bərabərləşmə", "orta səviyyədə bərabərləşmə" və "aşağı səviyyədə bərabərləşmə" kimi qruplarından əldə edilib və müəyyən edilən qiymətlərin ortalama səviyyədə olduğu göstərilmişdir.

Müsahibələrdən əldə edilən cavablardan görüldüyü kimi, şəxsiyyətin müəyyən olunması çox-səviyyəli struktura malik olan faktordur və kimliyin təsirləri baş verən hadisələri və qiymətləndirmələri istiqamətləndirir.

Açar sözlər: Etnik şəxsiyyətin dərki, sosial şəxsiyyət, immiqrant, dörd ölçülü model, Almaniya.

РЕЗЮМЕ

Оценка этнической идентичности Турецких мигрантов, проживающих в Германии, в контексте социально-психологических условий

Асли Яяк

Бурсский Технический Университет, Турция

Целью данной статьи является исследование турецких мигрантов, проживающих в Германии, и их социальных и психологических проблем. В статье исследуются внутренние и внешние факторы, а также ценности, преобладающие в определении личности. Теоретическим основанием статьи является представление разных личностей, изложенных Веркуйтеном. Был подготовлен вопросник, состоящий из 16 вопросов о личности мигрантов, для изучения факторов социальной личности мигрантов в соответствии с четырехкомпонентной моделью Веркуйтена.

Результаты исследований были получены по таким группам, как «высокий уровень равенства», «средний уровень равенства» и «низкий уровень равенства» на основе четырех факторов Веркуйтена - быть, знать и чувствовать и осуществлять, а также показали, что выявленные оценки держатся на среднем уровне. Как видно по ответам, полученным из интервью, выявление личности является фактором, состоящим из многоуровневой структуры и влияние личности направляет события и оценки.

Ключевые слова: Осознание этнической личности, социальная личность, иммигрант, четырехмерная модель, Германия.